Date Received for Clearance Process (MM/YY/DD) 9/27/02	INFORMATION CLEARANCE FORM
A. Information Category	B. Document Number DOE/RL-101, Revision 3
Abstract Journal Article	C. Title
Summary Internet	Radioactive Air Emissions Notice Of Construction Fuel Removal
☐ Visual Ald ☐ Software	For 105-KE Basin
☐ Full Paper ☐ Report	
Other	D. Internet Address
E. Required Information	1.2 Doce Information Contain the Following: (MANDATORY)
1. Is document potentially Classified?	If "Yes" Disclosure No
Manager's Signature Requir	b. Information Received in Confidence, Such as Proprietary and/or Inventions?
If Yes	□ No □ Yes Classified □ No □ Yes If "Yes", Affix Appropriate Legends/Notices.
ADC Signature Required	c. Copyrights? No Yes If "Yes", Attach Permission.
References in the Information are Appl	ied Technology No Yes d. Trademarks? No Yes Jf."Yes", Identify in Document.
Export Controlled Information	No Yes 4. Is Information requiring submission to OSTI? No Yes
	5. Release Level? Public Limited
	F. Complete for a Journal Article
Title of Journal	
	G. Complete for a Presentation
Title for Conference or Meeting	
2. Group Sponsoring	
Date of Conference	4. City/State
5. Will Information be Published in Proceedin	
H. Author/Requestor	Responsible Manager
Sillator Sillator	5 10-23-02 P P Duth \$ 10/23/02
D. J. Watson A VIVILLE (Print and Sign)	R. P. Ruth (Print and Sign)
I. Reviewers Yes Print	Signature, Public Y/N (If N, complete J)
General Counsel	Norris Kmni ON
Office of External Affairs	Y / N
DOE-RL 🛛 Ma	sh French Markshoul Y/N
Other	Y / N
Other	Y / N
J. If Information Includes Sensitive Information	n and is not to be released to the Public indicate category below.
Applied Technology Protected CI	RADA
Personal/Private Export Contr	
Proprietary Procuremen	t-Sensitive
Business-Sensitive Patentable	13: Thew .: 5
☐ Predecisional ☐ Other (Speci	Ty)
L OCIVI	22202
K. If Additional Comments, Please Attach Se	parate Sheet

Radioactive Air Emissions Notice of Construction Fuel Removal for 105-KE Basin

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management Project Hanford Management Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200



DOE/RL-96-101 Revision 3 [EDT] [ECN]

Radioactive Air Emissions Notice of Construction Fuel Removal for 105-KE Basin

Date Published October 2002

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Project Hanford Management Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200



Thereside 12/18/02
Release Approval Date

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

1

This page intentionally left blank.

1			1	CONTRIBUTIO
1	 	25.5		CONTENTS
	1			

2	1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
3	2.0	FACILITY LOCATION (REQUIREMENT 1)	1-4
4	3.0	RESPONSIBLE MANAGER (REQUIREMENT 2)	3-1
5	4.0	TYPE OF PROPOSED ACTION (REQUIREMENT 3)	4-1
6	5.0	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (REQUIREMENT 4)	5-1
7	6.0	PROCESS DESCRIPTION (REQUIREMENTS 5 AND 7)	6-1
8		6.1 FUEL RETRIEVAL SYSTEM	6-10
9		6.2 SHIELDED TRANSFER CASK LOADOUT SYSTEM	6-10
10		6.3 BASIN WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM	
11		6.4 CASK TRANSFER ANNEX	6-13
12		6.5 WATER RETURNS TO THE 105 K EAST BASIN FROM THE COLD	
13		VACUUM DRYING FACILITY	
14		6.6 EXCESS WATER REMOVED FROM THE BASIN	6-14
15	•	6.7 DEBRIS REMOVAL DESCRIPTION	6-14
16		6.8 SLUDGE MOVEMENT AND SAMPLING	6-15
17		6.9 FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	
18	* - 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1	6.9.1 General Construction Activities	6-16
19		6.9.2 Fuel Retrieval System	6-17
20		6.9.3 Shielded Transfer Cask Loadout System	6-18
21	7.0	ANNUAL POSSESSION QUANTITY AND PHYSICAL FORM	
22 ,		(REQUIREMENTS 8, 10, 11, AND 12)	7-1
23		7.1 SOURCE TERM DESCRIPTION	7-1
24		7.1.1 Fuel Elements	7-2
25))	7.1.2 Basin Water	7-2
26	\$ 1 · 1	7.1.3 Sludge 7-2	
27		7.1.4 Surface Contamination	7-7
28		7.2 ANNUAL POSSESSION QUANTITY, PHYSICAL FORM, RELEASE	
29		FORM, AND CHEMICAL FORM	7-8
30	8.0	CONTROL SYSTEM (REQUIREMENT 6)	8-1
31		8.1 CONTROLS FOR BELOW WATER ACTIVITIES	8-1
32		8.1.1 Basin Water	8-1
33		8.1.2 Existing Water Treatment	8-1
34	•	8.2 CONTROLS FOR ABOVE WATER ACTIVITIES	8-2
35.	**	8.3 CONTROL EQUIPMENT EFFICIENCIES	8-3
36	: '	8.4 CONFIGURATION OF WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	8-3

1	9.0	MONITORING SYSTEM (REQUIREMENT 9)	9-1
2	10.0	RELEASE RATES (REQUIREMENT 13)	
3		10.1 DERIVATION OF FACILITY SPECIFIC RELEASE FACTOR	
4	1	10.2 ABATED EMISSIONS	10-3
5		10.3 UNABATED EMISSIONS	10-3
6		10.4 COMPARISON OF ABATED, UNABATED, AND ACTUAL	
7	•	EMISSIONS	10-6
8		10.5 PROJECTED EMISSIONS DURING FUEL REMOVAL	10-7
9.		10.6 POTENTIAL TO EMIT ASSOCIATED WITH 100 AREA	
10		DIFFUSE/FUGITIVE EMISSION UNIT	10-7
11 -	11.0	OFFSITE IMPACT (REQUIREMENT 14 AND 15)	11-1
12	12.0	FACILITY LIFETIME (REQUIREMENT 17)	12-1
13	13.0	TECHNOLOGY STANDARDS (REQUIREMENT 18)	13-1
14	14.0	DEFEDENCES	141
	14.0	REFERENCES	14-1
15			
16		APPENDICES	
17	\mathbf{A}	DISCUSSION OF AS LOW AS REASONABLY ACHIEVABLE	* *
18	7	CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	A-I
19	В	105 ME AND 105 MW FIFE GTOD A GED AGDIG AT AD A GE	
19 20	В	105 KE AND 105 KW FUEL STORAGE BASINS ALARACT	
20 21		DEMONSTRATION FOR RECEIPT AND STORAGE OF	
Z 1		F AND H REACTOR FUEL	B-1
22	C	ALARACT DEMONSTRATION FOR THE 105 KE CONCRETE PAD	
23.	٠	REMOVAL	
24			_
			•
25	.*	FIGURES	
26	Figure	2.1 Location of the 100 V. Area within the Hanfard City	
20	riguic	2-1. Location of the 100-K Area within the Hanford Site	2-2
27	Figure	2-2. Location of Both Basins within the 100-K Area.	2-3
28	Figure	6-1. Fuel Transfer System	6-3
29		6-2. Fuel Transfer System 105 KE Lifting Platform.	*
30	Figure	6-3. Fuel Transfer System Lifting Cask Transfer Annex.	6-7

1	Figure 6-4. The Basin Water Level in Relation to the Stored Fuel	6-9
2	Figure 6-5. Cask Transfer Overpack/Shielded Transfer Cask Configuration	6-11
3	Figure 6-6. Conveyance Vehicle.	6-12
4	Figure 9-1. Fixed Head Sampler Positions	9-2
5	Figure 9-2. Locations of Near-Field Monitoring Locations.	9-3
6		
7	TABLES	
8	Table 1-1. Summary of Changes from Revision 2 to Revision 3.	1-2
9	Table 1-2. Fuel Removal Notice of Construction Record of Revision.	1-3
10	Table 7-1. 105 KE Basin Radionuclide Inventory (Source Term)	7-3
11	Table 7-2. Radionuclides in 105 KE Basin Water (February 20, 1996).	7-6
12	Table 7-3. Estimated 105 KE Basin Sludge Annual Possession Quantity	7-6
13	Table 7-4. Physical Form, Release Form, and Chemical Form.	7-8
14 15	Table 8-1. Projected Removal Efficiencies of the Existing 105 KE Basin Water Treatment System.	8-2
16	Table 8-2. Water Treatment System Operational Controls	8-4
17 18	Table 10-1. Radioactive Air Emissions Measured in Curies at the 105 KE Basin in Calendar Years 1995 to 1999	10-2
19	Table 10-2. 105 KE Abated and Unabated Emissions.	10-4
20	Table 10-3. Comparison of Estimated Emissions with Actuals (Curies)	10-7
21	Table 11-1. 105 KE Unabated Emissions and Dose.	11-2
22	Table 11-2. 105 KE Abated Emissions and Dose.	

1

This page intentionally left blank.

2

1		TERMS		
2	ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable		
3	ALARACT	as low as reasonably achievable control technology		
4	APQ	annual possession quantity		
5	BARCT	best available radionuclide control technology		
6	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations		
7	СТО	cask transfer overpack		
8	CTA	cask transfer annex		
´ ġ	CVDF	Cold Vacuum Drying Facility		
10	DOH	Washington State Department of Health		
11	EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
12	FRS	Fuel Retrieval System		
13	FTS	Fuel Transfer System		
14	HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air		
15	IXM	ion exchange module		
16	KE	K East		
17	KW	K West		
18	MEI	maximally exposed individual		
19	NOC	notice of construction		
20	PTE	potential to emit		
21	SNF	spent nuclear fuel		
22	SPR	single-pass reactor		
23	STC	shielded transfer cask		
24	TEDE	total effective dose equivalent		
25	WAC	Washington Administrative Code		
26		UNITS OF MEASURE		
27	Ci	curies		
28.	Ci/day	curies per day		
29	Ci/yr	curies per year		
30	°C	degrees Celsius		
31	Kg	kilogram		
32	Kpa	kilopascal		
33	mrem	milliroentgen equivalent man		
34	MTU	metric tons of uranium		
35	rem	roentgen equivalent man		
36	μ Ci/ml	microcuries per milliliter		
37	μCi/L	microcuries per liter		
		movedantes bet intel		

METRIC CONVERSION CHART

The following conversion chart is provided to the reader as a tool to aid in conversion.

•	T-4			Out of motion with		
3	Into metric units			 	Out of metric unit	S r
4	If you know	Multiply by	To get	If you know	Multiply by	To get
5		Length			Length	
6	inches	25.40	millimeters	millimeters	0.0393	inches
7	inches	2.54	centimeters	centimeters	0.393	inches
8	feet	0.3048	meters	meters	3.2808	feet
. 9	yards	0.914	meters	meters	1.09	yards
10	miles	1.609	kilometers	kilometers	0.62	miles
11:		Area			Area	
12	square inches	6.4516	square centimeters	square centimeters	0.155	square inches
13	square feet	0.092	square meters	square meters	10.7639	square feet
14	square yards	0.836	square meters	square meters	1.20	square yards
15	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	square kilometers	0.39	square miles
16	square miles	259	hectares	hectares	0.00391	square miles
17	acres	0.404	hectares	hectares	2.471	acres
18		Mass (weight)		Mass (weight)		
19	ounces	28.35	grams	grams	0.0352	ounces
20	pounds	0.453	kilograms	kilograms	2.2046	pounds
21	short ton	0.907	metric ton	metric ton	1.10	short ton
22		Volume			Volume	
23	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	milliliters	0.03	fluid ounces
24	quarts	0.95	liters	liters	1.057	quarts
25	gallons	3.79	liters	liters	0.26	gallons
26	cubic feet	0.03	cubic meters	cubic meters	35.3147	cubic feet
27	cubic yards	0.76	cubic meters	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards
28		. Temperature	S. 2.5		Temperature	
29	Fahrenheit	subtract 32 then multiply by 5/9ths	Celsius	Celsius	multiply by 9/5ths, then add 32	Fahrenheit

³⁰ Source: *Engineering Unit Conversions*, M. R. Lindeburg, PE., Second Ed., 1990, Professional Publications, Inc., Belmont, California.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28.

29 30

31

32

33

2 This document serves to modify the Notice of Construction (NOC) submitted to the 3 Washington State Department of Health (DOH) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 4 (EPA) per Reference DOE/RL (2001). The purpose of this NOC modification is to describe 5 changes in the 105 K East (KE) Basin annual possession quantity (APO) of spent nuclear fuel 6 (SNF) attributed to (1) the SNF inventory being received from the F and H Reactors and (2) 7 residual 105 KE Reactor single-pass reactor (SPR) fuel that may be discovered in the 105 KE 8 Basin as sludge and debris removal operations progress. This NOC modification also 9 incorporates changes in the Fuel Transfer System (FTS) annex design that have been previously approved by a May 7, 2002, NOC Application/Permit Revision. Table 1-1 provides a brief 10 11 summary description of the sections of this NOC and the changes that have been made. 12 The 105 KE Reactor and its associated SNF storage basin (105 KE Basin) were constructed in the early 1950s and are located in the 100 K Area about 1,400 feet from the 13 Columbia River. The 105 KE Basin contains 1,152 metric tons of SNF stored under water in 14 15 3,673 open canisters. This SNF has been stored since it was discharged from N Reactor between 16 1975 and 1987. The 105 KE Basin is constructed of unlined concrete and contains approximately 1.3 million gallons of water with an asphaltic membrane beneath the pool. The 17 18 fuel is corroding and an estimated 1,700 cubic feet of sludge, containing radionuclides and 19 miscellaneous materials, have accumulated in the basin. 20

The 105 KE Basin has leaked radiologically contaminated water to the soil beneath the basin in the past, most likely at the construction joint between the foundation of the basin and the foundation of the reactor.

The purpose of the activities described in this NOC modification is to enable the removal and transport of the SNF to the 105 K West (KW) Basin for further processing. This NOC describes modifications, the installation of new equipment, and operations.

Construction for proposed modifications is scheduled to begin in calendar year 2001.

Table 1-2 contains a record of the original NOC and subsequent revisions, including regulatory approvals. Those portions of the NOC which have been revised since the issuance of DOE/RL-96-101, Radioactive Air Emissions Notice of Construction Fuel Removal for 105 KE Basin, are noted by a vertical bar in the left-hand margin.

This NOC also identifies a potential-to-emit (PTE) associated with the 100 Area Diffuse/Fugitive Emission Unit per the direction in DOH (2001). This PTE is attributed to the transportation of non-sealed radioactive sources from the 105 KE Basin.

Table 1-1.	Summary of Changes	from Revision	2 to Revision 3.
------------	--------------------	---------------	------------------

Section	Title	Nature of Modifications*		
1.0	Introduction			
2.0	Facility Location	No change.		
3.0	Responsible Manager	No change.		
4.0	Type of Proposed Action	No change, remains an insignificant modification.		
5.0	State Environmental Policy Act	No change.		
6.0	Process Description	Revised fuel removal system annex description.		
7.0	Annual Possession Quantity and Physical Form	Revised to increase annual possession quantity of F and H Reactor spent nuclear fuel.		
8.0	Control System	Added water treatment system operational controls.		
9.0	Monitoring System	No change.		
10.0	Release Rates	Changed tables due to revised annual possession quantity.		
11.0	Offsite Impact	Changed tables due to revised annual possession quantity and update to unit dose conversion factors.		
12.0	Facility Lifetime	No change.		
13.0	Technology Standards	No change.		
14.0	References	Updated.		
Appendix A	Discussion of As Low As Reasonably Achieveable Control Technology	No change.		
Appendix B	105 KE and 105 KW Fuel Storage Basins ALARACT Demonstration for Receipt and Storage of F and H Reactor Fuel	Revised to refer to Section 7 for annual possession quantity impacts and to Sections 10 and 11 for release rate and dose consequence impacts for increased F and H Reactor spent nuclear fuel inventory to be received.		
Appendix C	ALARACT Demonstration for the 105 KE Concrete Pad Removal	No change.		

^{*}Only the technical changes are identified with a vertical bar in the left-hand margin.

Table 1-2. Fuel Removal Notice of Construction Record of Revision.

Date	Document	n1	Approvals	
Date	Document	Remarks	DOH	EPA
2/97	Notice of Construction Fuel Removal for		a	b
	105 KE Basin (DOE/RL-96-101, Rev. 0)			
9/98	Routine Technical Assistance Meeting,	Return of SNF from 327 Building to	С	
	September 15, 1998	105 KE Basin		
3/7/00	Hanford Facility NOC Revision Form, Debris	Allowed debris to be sprayed with fixative	d	d
	Removal Description			
2/20/01	105 KE Fuel Storage Basin ALARACT	Concrete pad removal north side 105 KE Basin	e	е
	Demonstration for Integrated Water Treatment			
	System Concrete Pad Removal			
3/7/01	NOC Application Permit Revision, Sampling	DOH approval condition change request	f, g	
	Frequency and Turnaround Time		-, 6	
4/23/01	Receipt and storage of F and H Reactor fuel at	Allowed the receipt and storage of F and H	h	i
	105 KE or 105 KW Basins (ALARACT)	Reactor fuel at the basins.		
10/06/01	Department of Health Radioactive Air	Combine all DOH approvals into license	i	k
	Emissions License Amendment for	amendment		
	105 KE Basin Fuel Removal			
05/07/02	NOC Application/Permit Revision, Fuel	The annex will be equipped with an evaporative	1	1
	Transfer Annex design change	cooler		

- (a) Washington State of Washington Department of Health approval letter, A. W. Conklin to J. E. Rasmussen, AIR 97-206, dated 3/5/97.
- (b) Environmental Protection Agency approval letter, A. J. Frankel to J. E. Rasmussen, dated 4/22/97.
- (c) Signed Routine Technical Assistance Meeting meeting minutes, dated 9/21/98.
- (d) NOC Revision Form approved by A. W. Conklin, dated 3/7/00, approved by L. E. Godbois (EPA), dated 3/6/00.
- (e) ALARACT demonstration concrete pad removal approved by R. Aeselrod dated 2/20/01, and approved by L.E. Godbois (EPA) per telecon.
- (f) NOC Application/Permit Revision approved by A. W. Conklin, dated 3/7/01.
- (g) AIR-01-504, approved by A. W. Conklin, dated 5/14/01.
- (h) AIR-01-403, approval by A. W. Conklin, dated 4/23/01.
- (i) EPA approval attached to DOE-RL letter to EPA, P. G. Loscoe to D. R. Sherwood, 01-SFO-064, dated 4/5/01.
- (j) DOH License Amendment, dated 10/06/01
- (k) EPA approval of DOE/RL-96-101, Rev. 2, Letter, L. E. Gadbois (EPA) to S. L. Veitenheimer (RL), dated 9/19/01
- (I) NOC Application/Permit Revision approved by A. W. Conklin, dated 5/7/02, and L. E. Gadbois

1

This page intentionally left blank.

FACILITY LOCATION (REQUIREMENT 1) 2.0 The 105 KE Basin is located within the 105 KE Reactor structure in the 100 K Area of 2 3 the Hanford Site. The 100 K Area is approximately 25 miles northwest of the city of Richland, Washington. Figure 2-1 shows the location of the 100 K Area and Figure 2-2 shows the location 4 5 of both basins within the 100 K Area. 6 There are four roof exhausters in 105 KE Basin. The Washington State Plane 7 Coordinates for these points are as follows: 8 P-105KE-1 Latitude: 146722 N Longitude: 569150 E 9 P-105KE-2 Latitude: 146728 N Longitude: 569149 E 10 P-105KE-3 Latitude: 146735 N Longitude: 569170 E 11 P-105KE-4 Latitude: 146742 N Longitude: 569187 E 12 Address: U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operation Office 13 Hanford Site 14 100 K Area, 105 KE and KW Basins Richland, Washington 99352 15 16

Figure 2-1. Location of the 100-K Area within the Hanford Site.





Figure 2-2. Location of Both Basins within the 100-K Area.



1

This page intentionally left blank.

1	3.0 RESPONSIBLE MANAGER (REQUIREMENT 2)
2	The responsible manager's name and address are as follows:
3	S. J. Veitenheimer, Director
4	Office of Spent Nuclear Fuels
5	U.S. Department of Energy
6	Richland Operations Office
7	Mail Stop A4-79
8	P.O. Box 550
9	Richland, WA 99352
10	(509) 373-9725
11	
12	

This page intentionally left blank.

1 _.	4.0	TYPE OF PROPOSED	ACTION (REQUIREMENT 3)
		the state of the s	

The proposed action consists of the installation, operation, and maintenance of fuel
removal and sludge relocation equipment; the transport of fuel and any residual sludge on the
fuel in shielded transfer casks (STCs)/cask transfer overpacks (CTOs) to the 105 KW Basin; as
well as debris removal, minor basin modifications, and pumping/transport of low-level
contaminated water to and from the 105 KE Basin.

This proposed action is not considered a significant modification to the existing basin and operations at the 105 KE Basin in accordance with *Washington Administrative Code* (WAC) 246-247-030 (16) and (25).

This page intentionally left blank.

1	5.0 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (REQUIREMENT 4)
2	The proposed activity is categorically exempt from the State Environmental Policy Act of
3	1971 per WAC 197-11-845(1).
4	

This page intentionally left blank.

6.0 PROCESS DESCRIPTION (REQUIREMENTS 5 AND 7)

Fuel storage operations at the 105 KE Basin have been continuous since 1975. The 105 KE Basin is a rectangular, reinforced concrete basin measuring 125 feet long by 67 feet wide by 21 feet deep with three main storage bays separated by concrete partitions open at each end, two loadout pits, viewing pits, and a discharge chute. At the west end (i.e., transfer area) there are large rollup doors that provide access for moving materials in and out of the basin. A 24-ton bridge crane is also located in the transfer area for lifting objects out of the basin. Metal grating is suspended over the entire basin, 21 feet above the basin floor (five feet over the nominal water level) to provide a working surface from which operators maneuver the fuel canisters. Canisters are moved by using a hoist and monorail system that runs throughout the 105 KE Basin.

The main storage bay floor is equipped with racks designed to house fuel canisters. The canisters are stored directly on the basin floor, surrounded by storage racks that maintain the canisters upright, in a fixed geometric array. The existing canisters consist of two cylinders approximately nine inches in diameter by 28 inches tall, made of aluminum or stainless steel, and are joined by trunnions to facilitate handling. A canister can hold a maximum of 14 N Reactor fuel elements.

The water level of the 105 KE Basin is maintained at approximately 16 feet deep to cool the fuel and to provide radiological shielding for personnel. To maintain low concentrations of radionuclides, the water is circulated through a closed-loop water treatment system. A detailed description of this system is provided in Section 6.3. The general layout of the FTS is shown in Figures 6-1, 6-2, and 6.3. The basin water level in relation to the stored fuel is shown in Figure 6-4.

The fuel retrieval process will be conducted under water. The process will encompass placing up to 10 canisters into an STC underwater (Figure 6-2). Underwater operations involve the use of hoists and lifting tools similar to those used in the past in moving canisters underwater in the basin to move fuel canisters to the dummy elevator pit and place them into the STC. Basin water quality will be controlled by the existing water treatment system.

Following this, the STC lid will be closed under water. The STC will be removed out of the basin pool by way of an underwater lift system in the dummy elevator pit area. The STC will then be rolled into the cask transfer annex (CTA) and be placed into a CTO, thereby isolating any surface contamination from the environment. The STC/CTO will then be transferred by a crane to a transfer tractor trailer. The STC/CTO will be transferred to the 105 KW Basin where it will be unloaded. Once unloaded, the STC/CTO is returned to the 105 KE Basin for the next loading repeating the process just described until all the canisters loaded with SNF are removed. Any residual sludge in the STC may be transferred by moving it to an underwater sludge accumulation area.

30.

This page intentionally left blank.

Figure 6-1. Fuel Transfer System.




Figure 6-2. Fuel Transfer System 105 KE Lifting Platform.




Figure 6-3. Fuel Transfer System Lifting Cask Transfer Annex.





Figure 6-4. The Basin Water Level in Relation to the Stored Fuel.



The proposed construction activities largely involve the installation of uncontaminated (new) equipment in the basin, portions of which will be placed under water on the floor of the basin and portions that will be installed above water. An enclosed structure CTA will be constructed onto the basin building as shown in Figures 6-1 through 6-3 that will be used to remove the STC from the basin building, place the STC into a CTO, and then place the STC/CTO onto a tractor trailer for transfer to the 105 KW Basin. The STC/CTO will return to 105 KE Basin through this annex.

6.1 FUEL RETRIEVAL SYSTEM

8

16

17

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29

31

32

33 34

35

- The Fuel Retrieval System (FRS) will retrieve fuel canisters throughout the basin using the existing system of ovehead hoists and lifting tools and position those canisters at the dummy elevator pit.
- Major components of the FRS include the monorail hoists, long handled tongs, and cameras and lighting.
- Monorail Hoist—The 105 KE Basin has an existing monorail system for the underwater
 handling of fuel canisters and equipment.
 - Long-handled or Similar Tools—The underwater tools will be used to handle canisters, move canisters, and remove debris from the basin water.
- Cameras and Lighting-Underwater closed-circuit television cameras and related lighting will be provided to support underwater operations.

20 6.2 SHIELDED TRANSFER CASK LOADOUT 21 SYSTEM


After canisters are moved underwater to the dummy elevator pit they will be placed into the STC using the existing monorail system. After the STC is loaded, the lid will be secured to the STC under water. The STC will then be raised out of the water using an underwater lift system and sprayed with either demineralized water or ion exchange module (IXM) outlet water to reduce the smearable contamination levels on the STC exterior surfaces. The return of the unloaded STC from 105 KW Basin will follow the same process but in reverse. The unloaded STC from 105 KW Basin may contain radiologically contaminated water when it is returned to the 105 KE Basin.

The major components of the STC loadout process are as follows:

• STC-The STC (Figure 6-5) consists of a fabricated metal body with a mechanical lid. The design is engineered to reduce radiation levels on the exterior of the cask, which is required to maintain occupational radiation levels as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) when it is removed from the basin water. The overall dimensions of the STC are approximately 5'3" long by 4'6" wide and 3'8" in height.

The Fill Hills

Figure 6-5. Cask Transfer Overpack/Shielded Transfer Cask Configuration.




6-11

The basic components of the STC are the STC body and lid. The STC lid is secured to the cask body mechanically. There are penetrations in the STC lid for venting any hydrogen gas that may be generated and for draining the STC. The vent will be equipped with a metal high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA)-type filter. The STC closure lid will have a locking mechanism. Lifting trunnions or lugs are provided for engagement with the lifting system.

- CTO—The CTO (Figure 6-5) consists of a fabricated metal body with a mechanical lid. The CTO is used to house the STC after it has been removed from the basin and to isolate any smearable contamination on the STC exterior from the environs during handling and shipment. The CTO is approximately 6'1" long by 5'4" wide and 4'2" in height. There are penetrations in the CTO lid for venting any hydrogen gas that may be generated. The vents will be equipped with a Nuc Fil¹ filter or a metal HEPA-type filter.
- Conveyance Vehicle—The conveyance (trailer) vehicle (Figure 6-6) is a semi-trailer that can be attached to a standard tractor. The trailer provides the necessary supports and attachment points for securing the cask in the vertical orientation during transport to the 105 KW Basin for unloading and then back to the 105 KE Basin for loading.
- The STC Lifting System—The STC lifting system is used to lift the STC out of the dummy elevator pit, transfer it by rail to the CTA and into the CTO.
- CTA Crane—The CTA crane will transfer the STC/CTO to the transfer tractor trailer.
- CTA-The loaded STC will be placed into the CTO inside the CTA (see Figure 6-1). The CTA employs a series of doors to maintain contamination control during the operation involving the handling of the STC. When an empty STC/CTO is returned to the 105 KE Basin for loading, the process is reversed.

Figure 6-6. Conveyance Vehicle.



¹ NucFil is a registered trademark of Nuclear Filter Technology.

6.3 BASIN WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM

28.

- 2 The following existing basin water treatment systems maintain basin water quality.
- 1. Skimmer System—The existing skimmer loop system is located on the north side of the basin and uses a backwashable sandfilter for filtration and an IXM for final treatment.
 The sandfilter discharges water to the west bay of the basin after being filtered and a portion treated in the IXM. The sandfilter backwash will continue to go to the north loadout pit, an underwater sludge accumulation area.
- Recirculation System—The existing recirculation system draws water from about eight feet deep in the basin and cools it as needed in an air-cooled chiller. It can then be run through cartridge filters and an IXM if needed and subsequently returned directly to the basin.

6.4 CASK TRANSFER ANNEX

As the STC leaves the 105 KE Basin facility, it will enter a new structure called the CTA. The double doors to the exterior on the north side of the 105 KE Basin facility will be removed to allow the cask transfer rails upon which the cask rolls to enter and exit the basin to the CTA. The CTA will be attached to the 105 KE Basin by a flexible expansion joint. The CTA will be a rigid structure with hard siding. The CTA will be equipped with an evaporative cooler used for comfort cooling. With this change in ventilation configuration to the basin, air flow tests using an aerosol will be performed to (1) qualify the location of occupational continuous air monitors within the basin; (2) determine air flow patterns within the basin air space in relationship to the doors and roof vents; and (3) determine whether administrative controls regarding the operation of the roof vent exhausters and other air handling systems are needed. The CTA will have an area to store STC/CTOs if required. The exit from the enclosed portion of the CTA will be through two sets of double doors (see Figure 6-1). After leaving the enclosed portion of the CTA, the STC/CTO will be moved by the cask transfer crane to the transport truck area. This is a non-enclosed area.

6.5 WATER RETURNS TO THE 105 K EAST BASIN FROM THE COLD VACUUM DRYING FACILITY

During processing at the Cold Vacuum Drying Facility (CVDF), most of the water and some of the particulates will be removed from multi-canister overpacks. It might become necessary to return the water removed to the 105 KE Basin. If the excess water is returned, the water would be treated first by ion exchange and filtration to reduce the radionuclides.

The liquid at the CVDF may be transferred to the 105 KE Basin by tanker trucks. A temporary building will be provided outside the transfer bay to house the truck unloading/loading area. This temporary area will house a spill containment pan for the tanker, piping, pump, and instrumentation. For unloading, the tanker truck will be connected to the pump using flexible hose and quick-disconnect fittings. Any leakage from the fittings will be cleaned up promptly to minimize smearable radioactive contamination.

The pump discharge will be hard-piped into the existing basin water treatment system or drained directly into the basin. An average of approximately 60,000 gallons of water per year could be transported from the CVDF.

6.6 EXCESS WATER REMOVED FROM THE BASIN

1.

27 -

 In the transfer bay area, there will be the capability to remove basin water through the existing basin water treatment system. Water will be removed via a connection located in the transfer bay. This water will be pumped to a tanker truck and transported to the 200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility. The tanker truck will be equivalent to the truck currently being used to transport water from the 100 N Emergency Dump Basin to the 200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility. The tanker truck will be located either in a transfer bay or in an enclosure adjacent to the facility.

6.7 DEBRIS REMOVAL DESCRIPTION

Debris is defined as anything (e.g., scrap, equipment, and material) that is over 0.25 inch in largest dimension that is not a permanent structure within the basin, is not used for current or planned operations or maintenance activity, and is not fuel or sludge. Debris would include such things as empty fuel canisters, old equipment (e.g., pumps, neutron detectors, other segregation equipment, etc.), hand tools, and miscellaneous irradiated and non-irradiated scrap. Debris will be removed and packaged for disposal in accordance with onsite methods.

The basin debris consists of widely varying forms of material ranging from those items easily cleaned and expected to have low levels of contamination to items that would be difficult to clean and be expected to have higher levels of contamination.

The canisters currently in the 105 KE Basin loaded with SNF will be transferred to the 105 KW Basin along with the SNF. Removal of the empty canisters from the 105 KW Basin is addressed in the 105 KW Fuel Removal NOC. Any empty canisters that are left in the basin will be cleaned under water using mechanical brushing and/or a pressure washer.

Other items that are amenable to cleaning, i.e., smooth, non-porous surfaces, will be cleaned by pressure washing or mechanical brushing to remove surface contamination. Upon removal from the water, these items will be rinsed with relatively clean water (demineralized water or IXM outlet water) and then will be promptly bagged, or otherwise contained, or coated with a fixative or removed into a greenhouse. Oversized debris, such as handling equipment and pipes, first could be cut to an appropriate size by using a hydraulic cutter under water or could be cut on removal from the water after applying protective bagging and tape.

Debris that cannot be readily cleaned (e.g., a fire hose) or that remains highly contaminated after cleaning will not be removed directly from the water but will be removed into an engineered containment as practical.

Containment will be used on all such debris removal except when justified by ALARA review to be ineffective, impractical, or otherwise not justified. In no case will containment be removed if annual emissions would exceed those projected in Section 10.5. Containment forms range from a rigid structure that is ventilated (e.g., greenhouse) or a glovebox or glovebag that might or might not be ventilated. If ventilated, a HEPA-type filtered exhaust will be used.

Some debris with high radiation dose rates could be placed into shielded containers underwater, the container removed from the water, rinsed, decontaminated, and properly dispositioned. Irradiated fuel element hardware would be expected to fall in this category.

Specific debris removal equipment and operation will be as follows. The monorail, hoist, and trolley will be used for transferring debris under water in the basin. Long-handled tools used to manipulate items under water will be used as required.

Cutters may be used to size the debris as required. The cutters will either be supplied form a portable hydraulic power pack or be manually operated cutters. Control for the operation of the powered cutter will be provided via a hydraulic valve assembly (closed loop) that controls the cutter in both the forward (cutting) and reverse (release) direction. The motive fluid used in the cutter assembly will be an approved compatible water soluble hydraulic fluid.

When the debris has been properly prepared (bagged, painted, wrapped, etc.), the debris will be moved to a disposal container located near access doors in the transfer bay of the 105 KE Basin. Debris will be packaged in accordance with onsite methods.

6.8 SLUDGE MOVEMENT AND SAMPLING

The existing equipment used for manual sludge movement is a system similar to a swimming pool cleaner, which consists of a hand-held wand and vacuum pump discharge hoses that go directly to the sludge accumulation areas under water (DOE/RL-95-65). This process will handle sludge cleanup while the FRS is being installed and operated, using the current water treatment system. Sludge accumulated from the sandfilter backwashes is discharged to the north loadout pit for settling. Sludge generated by other activities involving fuel movement is accumulated in the weasel pit.

It might be necessary to collect and transfer sludge samples from the floor and pit areas. Selected samples will be taken using specially designed portable equipment similar to that previously used for sludge sampling in 105 KE Basin. This equipment will use a peristaltic pump that fills a sample container with a liquid slurry of basin water and floor sludge. Because the design incorporates check valves used within the sample lines, the sample is never directly exposed to the air.

6.9 FACILITY MODIFICATIONS

The following information describes the methods that will be used to make those modifications to the facility for those systems and processes described in the preceding sections.

1	6.9.1 General Construction Activities
2 3 4 5	General construction activities identified thus far include the following. Any addition activities necessary will be conducted within the bounds of project air emissions identified in Section 11.0, Table 11-2. All activities will be performed using standard personnel protective equipment, ALARA practices, and the specific controls discussed in Section 8.0.
6	Above Water Work
7. ,	Drilling including but not limited to steel, wood, asbestos, concrete
8	 Asbestos removal and replacement
9	Grinding, cutting, and abrading of metals
10	Carpentry activities
11	 Welding activities
12	Electrical wiring installation, reconfiguration, and rerouting
13	 Pipe, hose, and valve installation, reconfiguration, and rerouting
14	 Instrument installation, reconfiguration, and rerouting
15 16	 Heating and cooling equipment installation, reconfiguration, and rerouting that does not impact airflow in or out of the building
17	Paint and coating removal and application
18	Structural steel removal, replacement, reconfiguration, and upgrade
19 20	 Cement, mortar, grouting, and concrete removal, replacement, reconfiguration and installation
21 22	 Lifting, hoisting, lowering, dragging, pulling, and pushing of construction supplies and equipment
23	Use of gas engines and electric motors
24	- Use of hydraulic, pneumatic, and electric hand-tools and equipment
25 26	 Pump (for transport of water, compressed air, or grouting) installation, use, reconfiguration, and removal
27	- Manually operated equipment installation, reconfiguration, and removal
28	 Nondestructive testing

1	Use of portable heaters for personnel comfort
2,	Obsolete and unused equipment disconnection and removal
3	 Debris removal, using controls discussed in Section 8.0 of the NOC.
4	Below Water Work
5	 Drilling, including, but not limited to, concrete
6	 Grinding, cutting, and abrading metals
7	 Pipe and hose installation, reconfiguration, and rerouting
8 9	 Cement, mortar, grouting, and concrete removal, replacement, reconfiguration, and installation
10	Obsolete and unused equipment disconnection and removal
11	 Manually operated equipment installation, reconfiguration, and removal
12	 Remotely operated equipment installation, reconfiguration, and removal
13	 Nondestructive testing
14	 Debris relocation, using controls discussed in Section 8.0 of this NOC
15 16	 Pump (for transport of water, compressed air, sludge, and grouting) installation, use, reconfiguration, and removal
17 18 19 20 21 22	Fuel relocation. (Throughout the lifetime of the facility, small numbers of fuel canisters have been moved during previous activities. Approximately 30 percent of the fuel canisters might require relocation to support FTS equipment installation. Fuel canisters might be moved more than once, i.e. out of the way for equipment installation and later along with other fuel as the canisters enter the FTS. Current methods will be used.)
23	6.9.2 Fuel Retrieval System
24 25 26 27 28	The following information describes the activities that will occur during the construction of the FTS equipment previously described in Section 6.1. Any additional activities necessary will be conducted within the bounds of projected air emissions identified in Section 11.0, Table 11-2. All activities will be performed using standard personnel protective equipment and ALARA practices.
29	Above Water Work–Installation/Reconfiguration of the following:
30	 Basin building structural steel and overhead trolley rail upgrades

. 1	 Radiation shielding where necessary
. 2	 New canister handling hoists
3	- Basin grating
4	Electrical and mechanical utility services.
5	6.9.3 Shielded Transfer Cask Loadout System
6 7 8 9	The following information describes the activities that will occur during the construction of the STC loadout system previously described in Section 6.2. Any additional activities necessary will be conducted within the bounds of projected air emissions identified in Section 11, Table 11-2. All activities will be performed using standard personnel protective equipment and ALARA practices.
11	Above Water Work
12	Reroute miscellaneous conduit
13	 Install personnel heaters
14	Install windbreak and upgrade rollup door components
15	Upgrade compressed air system
16	- Relocate/install radiation detector
17	 Install the rinse and decontamination water piping
18	Install electrical and mechanical utilities
19	 Decontaminate and seal conveyance vehicle driving surfaces
20	 Decontaminate and seal cask receiving area
21	 Prepare laydown and decontamination areas
22	 Install new overhead cranes and cask lifting devices
23 24 25 26	 Erect CTA including foundation construction (Appendix C to this NOC contains a demonstration of as low as reasonably achievable control technology [ALARACT] for the foundation construction of an annex to be used for an integrated water treatment system, which will now be used as a CTA)
27	 Route demineralized water or IXM outlet water piping to the dummy elevator pit.
28	Below Water Work
29	Remove general debris from dummy elevator pit

1		Install cask loading system in du				
2	100	sludge/sediment relocation and f	loor surface	preparation	on including	g grouting to
3		level floor as necessary).				
1			* - %			

1

This page intentionally left blank.

7.0 ANNUAL POSSESSION QUANTITY AND PHYSICAL FORM (REQUIREMENTS 8, 10, 11, AND 12)

It is noted that the following discussion is presented to provide the reader with an understanding of the approximate quantity of radioactive material to be handled during the course of the proposed activities.

The 105 KE Basin contains approximately 1,150 metric tons of uranium N Reactor fuel (approximately 3,700 canisters) and five containers filled with 138 aluminum-clad fuel elements (0.4 metric tons of uranium) of SPR fuel. During basin sludge and debris removal SPR elements from past 105 KE Reactor operations could be added to the inventory. Therefore the 105 KE Basin SPR inventory (less the F and H Reactor SPR inventory) is bounded by a total of 200 SPR fuel elements. The N Reactor fuel consists of slightly enriched metallic uranium completely enclosed and bonded to a layer of zirconium alloy (Zircaloy-2), also known as the cladding. Two elements are combined to form a fuel assembly (refer to Chapter 6.0, Figure 6-7). The SPR fuel is very similar, except the fuel is of smaller dimensions and is clad in aluminum. The cladding is designed to provide a barrier against the escape of the radionuclide source term (fission products and fissile materials).

During the cleanout of the F and H Reactor fuel storage basins, separate from this NOC, SNF may be found. The quantity of the SNF is estimated not to exceed 1,050 pounds. For information, Appendix B to this NOC contains an ALARACT demonstration for the receipt and storage of 150 pounds (or ten fuel elements) of F and H Reactor SPR fuel at the K Basins. The increased quantity of 1,050 pounds has been included in the APQ in Table 7-1 and subsequent sections describing release rates and dose impacts.

The N Reactor fuel was discharged between 1975 and 1987. The fuel has decayed sufficiently to essentially eliminate iodine-131, as well as other short half-life radionuclides. Following discharge of the fuel from the N Reactor, the fuel was allowed to cool for a minimum of 150 days in N Basin. The fuel was placed into open-top canisters, loaded into railcars, and transported to the 105 KE Basin for storage.

The fuel cladding integrity varies from undamaged cladding that retains the radionuclide source term, to fuel that has breached its cladding from reactor defueling and subsequent handling operations. The cladding breaches range from cracking to the complete separation of fuel elements into two or more parts. Once the cladding has been breached and the basin water gains access to the radionuclide source term, the radionuclides in the fuel either dissolve or corrode slowly over time. For example, radionuclides with high solubilities such as cesium and strontium dissolve into the basin water while less soluble radionuclides are oxidized (corroded), released from the fuel elements, and incorporated into the sludge or suspended in the water.

7.1 SOURCE TERM DESCRIPTION

The following sections provide a discussion on fuel elements, basin water, sludge, surface contamination, and the APQ.

7.1.1 Fuel Elements

1.7

The radionuclide inventory of the irradiated fuel is shown in Table 7-1, decayed to 1998. This inventory consists of SNF from N Reactor, F, and H Reactors, and an allowance for any residual SNF from 105 KE Reactor operations. The irradiated fuel is the total source term in 105 KE Basin. The source term for all the potentially significant constituents is presented in Table 7-1 (constituents with an activity of less than one curie each were not included but are available in the source documents [HNF-SD-SNF-TI-009 and SNF-8477]). The quantity presented in Table 7-1 represents the total available inventory of the basin, whether still in the fuel, in the water, or in the sludge.

The SNF inventory from F and H Reactors as described in Appendix B has been adjusted to reflect current forecasts based on work done to date. This is reflected in Table 7-1.

7.1.2 Basin Water

The water in the 105 KE Basin provides both cooling and shielding. The sludge and fuel are immersed in 1.3×10^6 gallons of water. Even with the large size of the source term in the fuel and sludge, the source term in the basin water is relatively small.

Table 7-2 represents data from a routine analysis for selected basin radionuclides taken on February 20, 1996. Predominant isotopes present in the water were tritium (15 curies), strontium-90 (10 curies), and cesium-137 (17 curies). Except for tritium, varying levels are achieved depending on the operation of the water treatment systems. These data are presented for information as to the relative quantities present in the water.

The radionuclides of significance in the airborne emissions are known to be particulates that originate from the basin water. The primary mechanisms responsible for airborne contamination are transport of particulates at the water line of the basin and suspension of surface contamination on basin floors, gratings, tools, and debris/equipment removed from the basin water (WHC-SD-NR-ES-016).

7.1.3 Sludge

The radionuclide inventory (source term) of sludge in the 105 KE Basin is given in Table 7-3. This inventory data was taken from HNF-SD-SNF-TI-009, Rev. 4. In order to account for uncertainties in these estimates, the inventory data values were multiplied by a factor of 4 to provide a bounding value.

Table 7-1. 105 KE Basin Radionuclide Inventory (Source Term). (3 sheets)

	-1. 105 KE Basin Rac			
Radionuclide	105 KE Basin Fuel (Ci)	Single Pass Reactor Fuel (Ci)	F and H Reactor Fuel (Ci)	Total Inventory 105 KE Basin
	(Decayed 5/31/98)	200 Fuel Elements	70 Fuel elements	(Ci)
	HNF-SD-SNF TI-009,	(Decayed 5/1/98)	(1,050 pounds)	
	Vol. 1, Rev. 3	SNF-6169, Rev 0	(Decayed 3/1/98)	
			BHI-01428, Rev. 0, Appendix A	
H-3	1.80 E÷04	1.13 E+02	0.00 E+00	1.81 E+04
C-14	3.62 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	3.62 E+02
Fe-55	9.64 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	9.64 E+02
Co-60	1.86 E+03	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.86 E+03
Ni-59	2.11 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.11 E+01
Ni-63	2.30 E+03	1.35 E+01	0.00 E+00	2.31 E+03
Se-79	4.35 E+01	0.00 E+00	2.80 E-03	4.35 E+01
Kr-85	2.84 E+05	1.13 E+02	1.20 E+01	2.84 E+05
Sr-89	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Sr-90	4.96 E+06	2.51 E+03	4.10 E ÷02	4.96 E+06
Y-90	4.97 E±06	2.51 E+03	4.10 E+02	4.97 E+06
Y-91	1.78 E-14	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.78 E-14
Zr-93	2.01 E+02	0.00 E+00	2.80 E-02	2.01 E+02
Zr-95	1.35 E-12	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.35 E-12
Nb-93m	1.26 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.26 E+02
Nb-94	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.12 E-02	1.12 E-02
Nb-95	3.01 E-12	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	3.01 E-12
Nb-95m	1.00 E-14	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.00 E-14
Tc-99	1.45 E+03	1.37 E÷00	1.40 E+01	1.47 E+03
Ru-103	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Ru-106	1.39 E+03	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.39 E+03
Rh-103m	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Rh106	1.39 E+03	0.00 E÷00	0.00 E+00	1.39 E+03
Pd-107	8.59 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.80 E-04	8.59 E+00
Ag-110	2.28 E-04	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.28 E-04
Ag-110m	1.71 E-02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.71 E-02
Cd-113	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.80 E-02	2.80 E-02
Cd-113m	1.80 E+03	2.79 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.80 E+03
Cd-115m	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
In-113m	1.77 E-07	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.77 E-07
Sn-113	1.77 E-07	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.77 E-07

Table 7-1. 105 KE Basin Radionuclide Inventory (Source Term). (3 sheets)

Radionuclide	105 KE Basin Fuel (Ci) (Decayed 5/31/98) HNF-SD-SNF TI-009, Vol. 1, Rev. 3	Single Pass Reactor Fuel (Ci) 200 Fuel Elements (Decayed 5/1/98) SNF-6169, Rev 0	F and H Reactor Fuel (Ci) 70 Fuel elements (1,050 pounds) (Decayed 3/1/98) BHI-01428, Rev. 0,	Total Inventory 105 KE Basin (Ci)
			Appendix A	
Sn-119m	2.50 E-01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.50 E-01
Sn-121m	4.01 E+01	0:00 E+00	0.00 E+00	4.01 E+01
Sn-123	1.46 E-05	0.00 E+00	0.00 E÷00	1.46 E-05
Sn-126	8.07 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	8.07 E+01
Sb-124	2.49 E-18	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.49 E-18
Sb-125	1.69 E+04	0.00 E±00	0.00 E÷00	1.69 E+04
Sb-126	1.13 E+01	0.00 E÷00	0.00 E+00	1.13 E+01
Sb-126m	8.07 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	8.07 E+01
Te-123m	2.33 E-11	0.00 E+00	0.00 E÷00	2.33 E-11
Te-125m	4.13 E+03	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	4.13 E+03
Te-127	7.90 E-07	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	7.90 E-07
Te-129	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Te-127m	8.07 E-07	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	8.07 E-07
Te-129m	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
I-129	3.26 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	3.26 E+00
Cs-134	6.95 E+03	3.14 E-01	0.00 E+00	6.95 E+03
Cs-135	3.96 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	3.96 E+01
Cs-137	6.55 E+06	6.49 E+03	4.15 E+02	6.56 E+06
Ba-137m	6.19 E+06	6.06 E+03	3.93 E+02	6.20 E+06
Ce-141	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Ce-144	7.56 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	7.56 E+02
Pr-143	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Pr-144	7.47 E÷02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	7.47 E+02
Pr-144m	9.07 E+00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	9.07 E+00
Pm-147	2.45 E+05	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.45 E+05
Pm-148	0.00 E+00	0.00 E÷00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E÷00
Pm148m	0.00 E+00	0.00 E±00	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Sm-151	8.92 E+04	8.05 E+01	5.05 E+00	8.93 E+04
Eu-152	4.67 E+02	0.00 E+00	2.12 E-03	4.67 E+02
Eu-154	5.30 E+04	6.76 E+01	0.00 E+00	5.31 E+04
Eu-155	1.12 E+04	1.23 E+01	0.00 E+00	1.12 E+04
Gd-153	9.59 E-05	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	9.59 E-05
Tb-160	2.29 E-15	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	2.29 E-15

Table 7-1. 105 KE Basin Radionuclide Inventory (Source Term). (3 sheets)

Radionuclide	105 KE Basin Fuel (Ci) (Decayed 5/31/98) HNF-SD-SNF TI-009, Vol. 1, Rev. 3	Single Pass Reactor Fuel (Ci) 200 Fuel Elements (Decayed 5/1/98) SNF-6169, Rev 0	Fuel (Ci) 70 Fuel elements (1,050 pounds) (Decayed 3/1/98) BHI-01428, Rev. 0,	Total Inventory 105 KE Basin (Ci)
U-234	4.66 E 100	0.00 5.00	Appendix A	
	4.66 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	4.66 E+02
U-235	1.77 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.77 E+01
U-236	6.61 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	6.61 E÷01
U-238	3.80 E+02	0.00 E+00	8.40 E-02	3.80 E+02
Np-237	3.02 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	3.02 E+01
Pu-238	6.05 E+04	2.87 E+01	2.53 E-01	6.05 E+04
Pu-239	1.16 E+05	8.34 E+01	1.68 E+01	1.16 E+05
Pu-240	6.37 E+04	1.32 E+02	4.20 E+00	6.38 E+04
Pu-241	3.42 E+06	2.81 E+03	7.49 E+01	3.42 E+06
Pu-242	3.07 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	3.07 E+01
Am-241	2.06 E+05	3.18 E+02	5.48 E+00	2.06 E+05
Am-242	1.13 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.13 E+02
Am-242m	1.14 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	1.14 E+02
Am-243	7.12 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E÷00	7.12 E+01
Cm-242	9.40 E+01	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	9.40 E+01
Cm-244	8.71 E+02	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00	8.71 E+02
Totals	2.73 E+07	2.13 E+04	1.76 E+03	
		Total 105 KE Basin In	ventory.	2.73 E+07

1

Table 7-2. Radionuclides in 105 KE Basin Water (February 20, 1996).

Isotope	Concentration (microcuries per milliliter)	Activity (curies)
²⁴¹ Am	6.50 E-06	0.03
¹³⁴ Cs	2.05 E-06	0.01
¹³⁷ Cs	3.45 E-03	16.91
⁶⁰ Co	1.06 E-06	0.01
¹⁵² Eu	3.44 E-06	0.02
Eu ¹⁵⁴	2.99 E-06	0.01
¹⁵⁵ Eu	6.62 E-06	0.03
²³⁸ Pu	1.71 E-05	0.08
^{239/240} Pu	2.94 E-05	0.14
⁹⁰ Sr	2.06 E-03	10.09
³ H	3.06 E-03	14.99
Total		42.33

Note: Volume of basin assumed to be 4.9 E+09 milliliters, (1.29 E+06 gallons).

Table 7-3. Estimated 105 KE Basin Sludge Annual Possession Quantity. (2 sheets)

Radionuclides	Nominal Inventory 105 KE Basin (Ci)**	Bounding Data (4 times nominal)** (Ci)
H-3*	3.63 E+01	1.45 E+02
CO-60	4.50 E+01	1.80 E+02
Kr-85*	5.77 E+02	2.31 E+03
Sr-89/90	2.23 E+04	8.92 E+04
Tc-99	5.27 E+01	2.11 E+02
Sb-125	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00
Cs-134	1.25 E+01	5.00 E+01
Cs-137	2.35 E+04	9.40 E+04
Eu-152	4.29 E+00	1.72 E+01
Eu-154	1.84 E+02	7.36 E+02
Eu-155	7.21 E+01	2.88 E+02
U-233	0.00 E+00	0.00 E+00

Table 7-3. Estimated 105 KE Basin Sludge Annual Possession Quantity. (2 sheets)

Radionuclides	Nominal Inventory 105 KE Basin (Ci)**	Bounding Data (4 times nominal)** (Ci)
U-234	3.81 E+01	1.52 E+02
U-235	1.34 E-01	5.37 E-01
U-236	4.38 E-01	1.75 E+00
Np-237	1.90 E-01	7.60 E-01
U-238	2.28 E+00	9.10 E+00
Pu-238	2.47 E+02	9.88 E+02
Pu-239/240	1.29 E+03	5.16 E+03
Pu-241	2.31 E+04	9.24 E+04
Am-241	1.21 E+03	4.84 E+03
Total	7.27 E+04	2.91 E+05

^{*}Tritium and Krypton-85 are taken from fuel/sludge inventories from K East and K West (Table 7-1 of DOE/RL-96-101 and Table 7-1 of DOE/RL-97-28, respectively), multiplied by the "sludge:fuel ratio" of 1.07E+5:5.47E+7 to obtain values for the sludge inventory.

7.1.4 Surface Contamination

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

Most of the interior of the 105 KE Basin has measurable surface (removable) contamination. Weekly surveys are performed to measure the levels and assess changes in these levels. An administrative control level of 10,000 d/m/100 cm² of β - γ and 500 d/m/100 cm² α is employed, above which the contamination levels are required to be reduced by decontamination to the extent practical. Radiological control requirements dictate that any areas above 100,000 d/m/100 cm² of β - γ and 2000 d/m/100 cm² α be posted 'DANGER, HIGH CONTAMINATION AREA." Only one small (10 square feet) area of the basin piping underneath lead shielding is permanently posted as discussed. This area is not accessed routinely and will not be disturbed in the performance of the activities described in this NOC.

Therefore, most above water activities described in this NOC are expected to be conducted with contamination levels less than the administrative control levels, or less than $10,000~d/m/100~cm^2$ of β - γ and $500~d/m/100~cm^2$ α .

^{**}Inventory data for radionuclides are taken fro HNF-SD-SNF-TI-009, Rev. 4, Table 2-1 and Table 2-2, using the nominal values. These values were multiplied by a factor of 4 to provide bounding data for safety and design considerations.

7.2 ANNUAL POSSESSION QUANTITY, PHYSICAL FORM, RELEASE FORM, AND CHEMICAL FORM

The APQ is identified in Table 7-1 as all the radionuclides originate with the SNF. Table 7-4 represents data on some of the more significant isotopes and their release forms. Tritium and krypton are released routinely during basin operation because of ongoing fuel corrosion, while the balance of the isotopes are released are particulate solids. As the fuel corrodes, complex compounds are produced that are not easily categorized.

Table 7-4. Physical Form, Release Form, and Chemical Form.

Radionuclide	Radionuclide Physical Form Release Fo		Chemical Form*
⁹⁰ Sr	solid	particulate solid	various
¹³⁷ Cs	solid	particulate solid	various
^{239/240} Pu	solid	particulate solid	various
²⁴¹ Am	solid	particulate solid	various

^{*}Radionuclides identified as various form numerous complex compounds.

Physical form, release form, chemical form, and radionuclides that could contribute greater than 10 percent of the potential to emit total effective dose equivalent to the maximally exposed individual are identified in Table 7-4. Cesium-137 is also included as it is used as an indicator isotope.

1.	8.0 CONTROL SYSTEM (REQUIREMENT 6)
2 3 4	The 105 KE Basin does not provide for inlet supply air and exhausted air is not filtered. Air is exhausted from the building via roof vents, two over the basin and two over the high bay transfer area.
5 6 7 8 9	All radioactive particulates providing the potential for airborne emissions from the 105 KE Basin will or have originated from the basin water. The primary mechanisms responsible for airborne contamination are transport of particulates at the water line of the basin, and suspension of surface contamination on basin floors, grating, tools, and debris/equipment removed from the basin water (WHC-SD-NR-ES-016).
10 11	8.1 CONTROLS FOR BELOW WATER ACTIVITIES
12 13 14 15	The controls which will be used as the abatement technology for underwater activities have the objective of maintaining basin water quality within established levels by removing particulate matter from the basin water, removing dissolved radionuclides from the water, and cooling the water.
16	8.1.1 Basin Water
17 18 19 20 21	The basin water consists of the 1.3×10^6 gallons of water that cover the irradiated fuel. The basin water is an inherent part of the fuel storage process. The water precludes the radionuclide source term from becoming directly airborne as might occur if the water were absent. The water also provides radiation shielding. Fuel handling operations involving direct contact with the fuel will be conducted under water.
22	8.1.2 Existing Water Treatment
23 24	The existing basin water treatment system is listed below and is described in Section 6. Removal efficiencies are described in Table 8-1.
	System/Component Purpose
	Primary Recirculation Cool basin water, which reduces the solubility of the radionuclides and reduces corrosion of the SNF.
	Cartridge Filter Remove particulate radionuclides.
•	Skimmer System Remove particulate radionuclides. Sandfilter
	IXM Pamovo dissolved wedienvelides

Table 8-1. Projected Removal Efficiencies of the Existing 105 KE Basin Water Treatment System.

Equipment	Nominal Flow Rate	Efficiency at Stated Particulate Size	Remarks
Sandfilter (existing)	400 gpm	95%	Particulate removed at 10 microns or larger
IXMs	160 gpm	N/A ¹	No particulate removal anticipated
Cartridge Filter (existing on recirculation system)	450 gpm	95%	Disposal cartridge filters for particles 5 microns or larger in size (varying filter sizes are available)

Note

 Strontium 99% Cesium 99% Plutonium 81%.

The cartridge filters are not normally used because of the occupational radiological exposure during changeout.

8.2 CONTROLS FOR ABOVE WATER ACTIVITIES

Specific controls will be applied to the FTS, as necessary, for individual above water activities. However, the construction activities described will involve, to the most degree, uncontaminated new equipment. Where existing above water contaminated equipment or structures are involved that will be disturbed, ALARA practices will be followed to minimize emissions. Contaminated areas will be decontaminated before work, as practical, or engineered controls such as glovebags, fixatives, ventilation or containment will be applied when practical to do so.

Maintenance activities performed on fuel removal equipment will follow current ALARA practices. These activities will be conducted in accordance with routine activity contamination control practices, e.g., glovebags, decontaminations, fixatives, etc., thus minimizing the potential to emit. Any items that need to be removed from the water will be rinsed upon removal from the water; if these items need to be left out of the water, the items will be bagged in plastic for storage. Maintenance on components that directly contact the fuel will be conducted within a confinement enclosure such as glovebag or HEPA ventilated enclosure.

The ALARA practices are part of the past DOH approval conditions (AIR 98-105 [Conklin 1998], Condition No. 2, 105 KW) which are also applicable to the 105 KE Basin. Information pertaining to these ALARA practices was provided to DOH at an October 27, 1999, meeting (SNF-8477).

¹ Radionuclide removal efficiency of key dissolved isotopes is as follows:

1 2 3	The STC will have one or more metal high integrity filters for venting. The filter is designed to provide 99.7 percent removal efficiency of 0.3 micron (µm) particles. This filter will be located in the STC lid.
4	The CTO will have one or more Nuc-Fill filters for venting. These filters will be located
5	in the CTO lid.
6	8.3 CONTROL EQUIPMENT EFFICIENCIES
7	The water treatment system contains ion exchange components for removal of dissolved
8	radionuclides and particulate filters for removal of particulate radionuclides. Projected removal
9	efficiencies are presented in Table 8-1. The removal efficiencies will be variable over time for
10	each of the water treatment system components due to the loading on the individual component.
11	The IXMs are changed out when sampling indicates the removal efficiency for cesium-137
12	decreases from 99 percent to approximately 90 percent. Administrative limits for the IXM
13	efficiencies as listed above also take into consideration the need to not have the IXM become
14	TRÚ waste.
15	8.4 CONFIGURATION OF WATER
16	TREATMENT SYSTEMS
٠,	
17	This section describes the water treatment systems to be operational for
18	storage/movement of SNF, sludge and debris. Table 8-2 provides the normal and backup water
19	treatment system operational controls.
20	

Table 8-2. Water Treatment System Operational Controls.

	Existing Basin Water Treatment System ^{1, 2, 3}							
Underwater Activity	Skimme	er System	Primary Recir	culation System				
	Sandfilter	IXM	Chiller	Cartridge Filter4				
Storage of SNF in canisters	R	R	В	В				
Relocating sludge within basin pool	R	R	В	В				
Relocating/removing SNF, debris from basin pool	R	R	В	В				
Movement of shielded transfer cask in and out of basin water	R	R	В	В				
Movement of sludge from under water in the 105 KE Basin location to transfer bay sludge transport trailer with cask and sludge containers	R	R	В	В				

No operations that disturb the source term in the water will be conducted if cesium-137 concentration in the basin water exceeds 30 microcuries per liter. Additionally, at a level of 15 microcuries per liter of cesium-137, incremental water treatment equipment will be placed on line.

²The existing basin water treatment system is required to be in operation for storing SNF in canisters except when shut down for sandfilter backwashing, ion exchange module changeout, and maintenance.

³During times when the skimmer system and the primary recirculation system are shut down (e.g., for maintenance, ion exchange module changeout), underwater work that does not disturb the sludge source (e.g., changing underwater lights, movement of underwater video cameras, placement of items in the basin) may be performed.

⁴The cartridge filters are not normally used because of the occupational radiological exposure during changeout.

- B = if "required," is out of service and corresponding backup system will be used (i.e., chiller for IXM [dissolved/ionic contamination] and cartridge filter for sandfilter [particulate contamination]).
- R = operation required.

9.0 MONITORING SYSTEM (REQUIREMENT 9)

 The 105 KE Basin does not provide inlet supply air and exhausted air is not filtered. Air is exhausted from the building via roof vents, two over the basin and two over the transfer high bay area. The maximum combined air flow from the four roof vents is approximately 55,000 cubic feet per minute (WHC-SD-NR-TRP-015).

The sampling system inside the 105 KE Basin consists of three fixed head samplers. The design of the fixed head samplers allows the filter head to be lowered or elevated for safe changeout and sample collection. The particulate filters from the three samplers are collected weekly. The particulate filters are currently delivered to the Hanford Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility where total alpha/beta and isotopic analyses are performed on the particulate filters. The contractual detection limits and other requirements are specified in the Statement of Work for services provided by the Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Program (HNF-EP-0835). Weekly filters are composited for a monthly gamma scan, strontium-90, americium-241, and plutonium isotopic analysis. The particulate radionuclides contributing 10 percent or more of the potential-to-emit from 105 KE Basin are plutonium-239/240, plutonium-241, and americium-241.

The three samplers are located as follows: two are located over the 105 KE Basin and one is located in the transfer high bay area. The samplers over the 105 KE Basin are positioned directly in front of roof vent 11 and roof vent 10, the inlet to the exhaust fans. The sample head filter assembly is attached to an adjustable support extended to the inlet of the fan, about 11.1 feet above the floor. The third sampler is in the transfer high bay area near exhaust fans roof vent 6 and roof vent 7. The filter assembly for the high bay sampler is approximately 20 feet above the floor. Figure 9-1 identifies the relative position of these fixed head samplers.

The sampler system design eliminates any sample line loss concerns. The particulate filter employed is a 1.85-inch-glass fiber filter with a 91 percent capture efficiency for particles with a median diameter of 0.3 micron. The sampler filter assembly is connected to a vacuum pump via plastic tubing. Because the particulate filter is upstream of the plastic tubing, the particulate filter is not influenced by the tubing. The sample pumps are equipped with a flow regulator. The nominal sample flow rate is 2.00 cubic feet per minute.

Operational checks of the exhaust fans and the sample pumps are performed daily. In the event a fan is found not operating or is de-energized for any reason, the sampler is turned off until the exhaust fan is returned to service. The operability information for the samplers and exhaust fans is logged and reported to monitoring program personnel. The sample pump flow rate is checked quarterly using a calibrated National Institute of Standards and Technology traceable flow meter.

In addition to the system described previously, near-field ambient air monitoring currently is being performed at several locations around the 105 KE Basin (see Figure 9-2). Three monitors, designated as N-402, -403, and -404 will continue to be operated until all activities and operations described in this NOC are complete.







Figure 9-2. Locations of Near-Field Monitoring Locations.



1

This page intentionally left blank.

RELEASE RATES (REQUIREMENT 13)

2	The following provides an estimate of the abated and unabated emissions.
3 4 5 6	As described in Sections 6, 8, and Appendix A, best available radionuclide control technology (BARCT) for those activities covered under this NOC is control of the water quality within the 105 KE Basin pool. To that effect, the unabated and abated emissions from the 105 KE Basin are defined as follows:
7 8	Unabated Emissions: Those emissions which would occur without effective use of abatement controls.
9 10	Abated Emissions: Those emissions that would occur with optimum use of abatement controls.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Abatement controls involve the use of water filtration, ion exchange, and cooling. Basin water quality is a function of the challenge to these systems, i.e., underwater operations in the basin disturbing the fuel and sludge, and the operating status and configuration of these processes. The abated emissions from the facility are based on a basin water quality of 3 μ Ci/l o Cs-137, which existed in general for all of 1995 during which time there was effective use of these systems. The unabated emissions from the facility are based on a basin water quality of 30 μ Ci/l of Cs-137. This is considered a worst case as the Cs-137 concentrations in the basin reached upwards to 23 μ Ci/l during the fuel segregation campaign from 1983 to 1984 where the entire fuel inventory was repackaged with water treatment capability less than that which exists today.
21 22	10.1 DERIVATION OF FACILITY SPECIFIC RELEASE FACTOR
23 24 25 26 27 28	The total radiological inventory in the 105 KE Basin, whether in the fuel, sludge, or water, is given in Table 7-1. This represents the APQ. Table 10-1 describes the radiological emissions from the 105 KE Basin from 1995 to 1999 for those radionuclides that contribute greater than approximately 10% of the unabated does to the maximally exposed individual (MEI or greater than 25% of the abated dose to the MEI. Also included is CS-137 since it is used as an indicator isotope.
29 30 31 32 33	Radioactive airborne emissions from the 105 KE Basin are derived from the SNF and sludge stored underwater. Therefore, there is one release fraction from the radiological source term itself (fuel and sludge) to the basin water mass and another release fraction from the basin water mass to the basin airspace. This transport mechanism is discussed in detail in WHC-SD-NR-ES-016.

34

Table 10-1. Radioactive Air Emissions Measured in Curies at the 105 KE Basin in Calendar Years 1995 to 1999.

Instance	Calendar Year Releases (Ci)						
Isotope	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999		
Sr-90	5.9 E-06	1.7 E-05	1.6 E-05	1.4 E-05	1.9 E-05		
Cs-137	2.4 E-04	4.2 E-05	4.8 E-05	2.6 E-05	4.3 E-05		
Pu-239/240	1.5 E-05	3.1 E-06	3.6 E-06	3.1 E-06	4.1 E-06		
Am-241	5.6 E-06	1.8 E-06	2.3 E-06	1.9 E-06	2.3 E-06		

- The release fraction of the radiological source term (fuel and sludge) to the basin water is derived as follows using data from 1995.
- 3 <u>Water Cs-137 source term:</u>
- 4 CS-137 concentration in basin water x water volume

$$\frac{3 \mu \text{Ci}}{1} \times 1.3\text{E} + 06\text{gal} \times \frac{3.781}{\text{gal}} = 1.5\text{E} + 01 \text{ curies}$$

- 5 <u>Fuel and Sludge Cs-137 source term:</u>
- 6 6.6E+06 curies (Table 7-1)
- Therefore, the release fraction from the radiological source term to the basin water (RF_{SW}) is.

$$RF_{SW} = \frac{1.5E+01 \text{ curies}}{6.6E+06 \text{ curies}} = 2.3E-06$$

- The release fraction from the basin water to the basin emissions (RF_{WA}) is derived as follows also using data from 1995:
- 11 <u>Water Cs-137 source term:</u>
- 1.5E+01 curies (per above)
- 13 <u>Annual Cs-137 Emissions</u>
- 14 2.4E-04 curies (Table 10-1)
- Therefore, the release fraction from the basin water to the facility emissions (RF_{WA}) is:

$$RF_{WA} = \frac{2.4E-04 \text{ curies}}{1.5E+01 \text{ curies}} = 1.6E-05$$

1 2	The release fraction from the radiological source term (fuel and sludge) to the basin air (RF _{SA}) is therefore:
3	$RF_{SW} \times RF_{WA} = RF_{SA}$
4	$2.3E-06 \times 1.6E-05 = 3.7E-11$
5 6 7	This release fraction is used with the APQ described in Table 7-1 to derive the abated and unabated emissions except for those radionuclides that exist in a gaseous state, i.e., tritium, Kr-85, and I-129.
8 9 10 11	A release fraction for those radionuclides which exist in a gaseous state was determined by calculating the curies of tritium released to the air based on measured basin water tritium concentration, a nominal evaporation rate, and then comparing that to the tritium source term as follows:
12	Concentration of tritium in basin water = $3.0E-03 \mu Ci/ml$
13	Evaporation rate of basin water = 41.6 l/hr
14	Therefore
15	$(3.0E-03 \mu Ci/ml) (4.16E+04ml/hr) (8760 hr/yr) (1E-06Ci/\mu Ci) = 1.1 Ci/yr of tritium$
16	The release fraction for tritium is
	$\frac{1.1 \text{ curies}}{1.84\text{E}+04 \text{ curies}} = 6.0\text{E}-05$
17	10.2 ABATED EMISSIONS
18 19 20	The abated emissions in Table 10-2 were estimated using the APQ in Table 7-1 times the release fraction, RF _{SA} , derived above, i.e., 3.7E-11, and a release fraction of 6.0E-05 for those radionuclides in a gaseous state, i.e., tritium, Kr-85, and I-129.
21	10.3 UNABATED EMISSIONS
22 23 24	The unabated emissions in Table 10-2 were estimated by increasing the release fraction, RFSA, derived above, i.e., 3.7E-11, by a factor of 10 which correlates to increasing the basin water concentration by a factor of 10, i.e., from 3 µCi/l to 30 µCi/l of Cs-137.
25	

Table 10-2. 105 KE Abated and Unabated Emissions. (3 sheets)

Table 7-1 S	105 KE BASIN Table 7-1 Source Term		Abated emission Based on water at fraction Abated emission based on water at 3µCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)		Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)	
Radionuclide Inventory (Ci)					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
H-3	1.81 E+04	6.00 E-05	1.09 E+00	1.00 E+00	1.09 E+00	
C-14	3.62 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.34 E-08	1.00 E+01	1.34 E-07	
Fe-55	9.64 E+02	3.70 E-11	3.57 E-08	1.00 E+01	3.57 E-07	
Co-60	1.86 E+03	3.70 E-11	6.88 E-08	1.00 E+01	6.88 E-07	
Ni-59	2.11 E+01	3.70 E-11	7.81 E-10	1.00 E+01	7.81 E-09	
Ni-63	2.31 E+03	3.70 E-11	8.56 E-08	1.00 E+01	8.56 E-07	
Se-79	4.35 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.61 E-09	1.00 E+01	1.61 E-08	
Kr-85	2.84 E+05	6.00 E-05	1.70 E+01	1.00 E+00	1.70 E+01	
Sr-89	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Sr-90	4.96 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.84 E-04	1.00 E+01	1.84 E-03	
Y-90	4.97 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.84 E-04	1.00 E+01	1.84 E-03	
Y-91	1.78 E-14	3.70 E-11	6.59 E-25	1.00 E+01	6:59 E-24	
Zr-93	2.01 E+02	3.70 E-11	7.44 E-09	1.00 E+01	7.44 E-08	
Zr-95	1.35 E-12	3.70 E-11	5.00 E-23	1.00 E+01	5.00 E-22	
Nb-93m	1.26 E+02	3.70 E-11	4.66 E-09	1.00 E+01	4.66 E-08	
Nb-94	1.12 E-02	3.70 E-11	4.14 E-13	1.00 E+01	4.14 E-12	
Nb-95	3.01 E-12	3.70 E-11	1.11 E-22	1.00 E+01	1.11 E-21	
Nb-95m	1.00 E-14	3.70 E-11	3.70 E-25	1.00 E+01	3.70 E-24	
Tc-99	1.47 E+03	3.70 E-11	5.42 E-08	1.00 E+01	5.42 E-07	
Ru-103	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Ru-106	1.39 E+03	3.70 E-11	5.14 E-08	1.00 E+01	5.14 E-07	
Rh-103m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Rh106	1.39 E+03	3.70 E -1 1	5.14 E-08	1.00 E÷01	5.14 E-07	
Pd-107	8.59 E+00	3.70 E-11	3.18 E-10	1.00 E+01	3.18 E-09	
Ag-110	2.28 E-04	3.70 E-11	8.44 E-15	1.00 E+01	8.44 E-14	
Ag-110m	1.71 E-02	3.70 E-11	6.33 E-13	1.00 E+01	6.33 E-12	
Cd-113	2.80 E-02	3.70 E-11	1.04 E-12	1.00 E+01	1.04 E-11	
Cd-113m	1.80 E+03	3.70 E-11	6.67 E-08	1.00 E+01	6.67 E-07	
Cd-115m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
In-113m	1.77 E-07	3.70 E-11	6.55 E-18	1.00 E+01	6.55 E-17	

Table 10-2. 105 KE Abated and Unabated Emissions. (3 sheets)

105 KE BASIN Table 7-1 Source Term		Release fraction	Abated emission based on water at 3 <u>u</u> Ci/L Cs-137 (Ci)	Adjusted release faction	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)	
Radionuclide	Inventory (Ci)					
Sn-113	Sn-113 1.77 E-07		6.55 E-18	1.00 E+01	6.55 E-17	
Sn-119m	2.50 E-01	3.70 E-11	9.25 E-12	1.00 E+01	9.25 E-11	
Sn-121m	4.01 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.48 E-09	1.00 E+01	1.48 E-08	
Sn-123	1.46 E-05	3.70 E-11	5.40 E-16	1.00 E+01	5.40 E-15	
Sn-126	8.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.99 E-09	1.00 E+01	2.99 E-08	
Sb-124	2.49 E-18	3.70 E-11	9.21 E-29	1.00 E+01	9.21 E-28	
Sb-125	1.69 E+04	3.70 E-11	6.25 E-07	1.00 E+01	6.25 E-06	
Sb-126	1.13 E+01	3.70 E-11	4.18 E-10	1.00 E+01	4.18 E-09	
Sb-126m	8.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.99 E-09	1.00 E+01	2.99 E-08	
Te-123m	2.33 E-11	3.70 E-11	8.62 E-22	1.00 E+01	8.62 E-21	
Te-125m	4.13 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.53 E-07	1.00 E+01	1.53 E-06	
Te-127	7.90 E-07	3.70 E-11	2.92 E-17	1.00 E+01	2.92 E-16	
Te-129m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E÷01	0.00 E+00	
Te-127m	8.07 E-07	3.70 E-11	2.99 E-17	1.00 E+01	2.99 E-16	
Te-129m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
I-129	3.26 E+00	6.00 E-05	1.96 E-04	1.00 E+00	1.96 E-04	
Cs-134	6.95 E+03	3.70 E-11	2.57 E-07	1.00 E÷01	2.57 E-06	
Cs-135	3.96 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.47 E-09	1.00 E+01	1.47 E-08	
Cs-137	6.56 E+06	3.70 E-11	2.43 E-04	1.00 E+01	2.43 E-03	
Ba-137m	6.20 E+06	3.70 E-11	2.29 E-04	1.00 E+01	2.29 E-03	
Ce-141	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Ce-144	7.56 E+02	3.70 E-11	2.80 E-08	1.00 E+01	2.80 E-07	
Pr-143	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Pr-144	7.47 E+02	3.70 E-11	2.76 E-08	1.00 E+01	2.76 E-07	
Pr-144m	9.07 E+00	3.70 E-11	3.36 E-10	1.00 E+01	3.36 E-09	
Pm-147	2.45 E÷05	3.70 E-11	9.07 E-06	1.00 E+01	9.07 E -0 5	
Pm-148	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Pm148m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	
Sm-151	8.93 E+04	3.70 E-11	3.30 E-06	1.00 E+01	3.30 E-05	
Eu-152	4.67 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.73 E-08	1.00 E+01	1.73 E-07	
Eu-154	5.31 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.96 E-06	1.00 E+01	1.96 E-05	

Table 10-2. 105 KE Abated and Unabated Emissions. (3 sheets)

105 KE BASIN Table 7-1 Source Term				Adjusted release faction	Unabated emission based on water at 30 μCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)	
Radionuclide	Inventory (Ci)					
Eu-155	1.12 E+04	3.70 E-11	4.15 E-07	1.00 E+01	4.15 E-06	
Gd-153	9.59 E-05	3.70 E-11	3.55 E-15	1.00 E+01	3.55 E-14	
Tb-160	2.29 E-15	3.70 E-11	8.47 E-26	1.00 E÷01	8.47 E-25	
U-234	4.66 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.72 E-08	1.00 E+01	1.72 E-07.	
U-235	1.77 E+01	3.70 E-11	6.55 E-10	1.00 E+01	6.55 E-09	
U-236	6.61 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.45 E-09	1.00 E+01	2.45 E-08	
U-238	3.80 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.41 E-08	1.00 E÷01	1.41 E-07	
Np-237	3.02 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.12 E-09	1.00 E+01	1.12 E-08	
Pu-238	6.05 E+04	3.70 E-11	2.24 E-06	1.00 E+01	2.24 E-05	
Pu-239	1.16 E+05	3.70 E-11	4.30 E-06	1.00 E+01	4.30 E-05	
Pu-240	6.38 E+04	3.70 E-11	2.36 E-06	1.00 E+01	2.36 E-05	
Pu-241	3.42 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.27 E-04	1.00 E+01	1.27 E-03	
Pu-242	3.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.14 E-09	1.00 E+01	1.14 E-08	
Am-241	2.06 E+05	3.70 E-11	7.63 E-06	1.00 E+01	7.63 E-05	
Am-242	1.13 E+02	3.70 E-11	4.18 E-09	1.00 E+01	4.18 E-08	
Am-242m	1.14 E+02	3.70 E-11	4.22 E-09	1.00 E+01	4.22 E-08	
Am-243	7.12 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.63 E-09	1.00 E+01	2.63 E-08	
Cm-242	9.40 E+01	3.70 E-11	3.48 E-09	1.00 E+01	3.48 E-08	
Cm-244	8.71 E÷02	3.70 E-11	3.22 E-08	1.00 E+01	3.22 E+07	
Total	2.73 E+07		1.81 E+01		1.81 E+01	

10.4 COMPARISON OF ABATED, UNABATED, AND ACTUAL EMISSIONS

2

A comparison of the abated, unabated, and actual 1995 to 1999 emissions is given in Table 10-3 for those radionuclides that contribute greater than approximately 10% of the unabated dose to the MEI or greater than 25% of the abated dose to the MEI.

Table 10-3. Comparison of Estimated Emissions with Actuals (Curies).

Isotope	Abated with water at 3µCi/L Cs-137	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Unabated with water at 30µCi/L Cs-137
Sr-90	1.84E-04	5.9 E-06	1.7 E-05	1.6 E-05	1.4 E-05	1.9 E-05	1.84E-03
Cs-137	2.43E-04	2.4 E-04	4.2 E-05	4.8 E-05	2.6 E-05	4.3 E-05	2.43E-03
Pu-239/240	6.66E-06	1.5 E-05	3.1 E-06	3.6 E-06	3.1 E-06	4.1 E-06	6.66E-05
Am-241	7.63E-06	5.6 E-06	1.8 E-06	2.3 E-06	1.9 E-06	2.3 E-06	7.63E-05

10.5 PROJECTED EMISSIONS DURING FUEL 2 REMOVAL

1

3

5

10 11

The projected emissions from the 105 KE fuel removal activities are expected to be within the estimated abated and unabated emissions as basin water quality will be within this range.

10.6 POTENTIAL TO EMIT ASSOCIATED WITH 6 100 AREA DIFFUSE/FUGITIVE EMISSION 8 UNIT

The PTE associated with the 100 Area Diffuse/Fugitive Emission Unit is 1.81 E+01 curies/year (Table 10-2).

1

This page intentionally left blank.

11.0 OFFSITE IMPACT (REQUIREMENT 14 AND 15)

An assessment was performed to determine whether the MEI is ar	n onsite or offsite
receptor. This assessment concluded that the dose to an offsite receptor is	s greater than the dose
to any onsite member of the public. The MEI is therefore located 8,900 n	neters NNW, and the
unit dose conversion factors in HNF-3602 were used in computing dose.	These were calculated
using the EPA approved CAP-88 Code (EPA 1990).	

The total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) for the unabated emission to the MEI is presented in Table 11-1. The projected dose for each individual radionuclide was calculated by multiplying the projected annual emission (Chapter 10.0, Table 10-2) by the dose conversion factor. The resulting dose is 5.3 E-03 millirem.

The TEDE for the abated emissions to the MEI based on Table 10-2 is presented in Table 11-2. The projected dose for each individual radionuclide is calculated by multiplying the projected annual emission from Table 10-2 by the dose conversion factor. The resulting dose is 6.22 E-04 millirem.

Table 11-1. 105 KE Unabated Emissions and Dose. (3 sheets)

Table 7-1 S	BASIN Source Term Inventory (Ci)	Release fraction	Adjusted release faction	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs- 137 (Ci)	CAP-88 dose conversion factor (mrem/yr)	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs-137 TEDE to the MEI (mrem/yr)	Dose percent of total
H-3	1.81 E+04	6.00 E-05	1.00 E+00	1.09 E+00	4.90 E-05	5.33 E-05	1.00
C-14	3.62 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.34 E-07	3.80 E-03	5.09 E-10	0.00
Fe-55	9.64 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	3.57 E-07	4.50 E-04	1.61 E-10	0.00
Co-60	1.86 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.88 E-07	4.90 E-01	3.37 E-07	0.01
Ni-59	2.11 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	7.81 E-09	6.10 E-04	4.76 E-12	0.00
Ni-63	2.31 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	8.56 E-07	5.20 E-04	4.45 E-10	0.00
Se-79*	4.35 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.61 E-08	2.60 E-01	4.18 E - 09	0.00
Kr-85	2.84 E+05	6.00 E-05	1.00 E+00	1.70 E+01	1.10 E-07	1.88 E-06	0.04
Sr-89	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	3.40 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Sr-90	4.96 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.84 E-03	2.20 E-01	4.04 E-04	7.62
Y-90	4.97 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.84 E-03	6.80 E-04	1.25 E-06	0.02
Y-91	1.78 E-14	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.59 E-24	5.00 E-03	3.29 E-26	0.00
Zr-93	2.01 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	7.44 E-08	2.60 E-03	1.93 E-10	0.00
Zr-95	1.35 E-12	3.70 E-11	1.00 E±01	5.00 E-22	7.70 E-03	3.85 E-24	0.00
Nb-93m	1.26 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.66 E-08	4.10 E-03	1.91 E-10	0.00
Nb-94	1.12 E-02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.14 E-12	1.60 E+00	6.63 E-12	0.00
Nb-95	3.01 E-12	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.11 E-21	9.50 E-03	1.06 E-23	0.00
Nb-95m	1.00 E-14	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	3.70 E-24	5.90 E-04	2.18 E-27	0.00
Tc-99	1.47 E+03	3.70 E-11	1,00 E+01	5.42 E-07	4.50 E-02	2.44 E-08	0.00
Ru-103	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E±01	0.00 E+00	3.60 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Ru-106	1.39 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	5.14 E-07	4.00 E-02	1.59 E-08	0.00
Rh-103m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	1.50 E-07	0.00 E÷00	0.00
Rh106	1.39 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	5.14 E-07	8.20 E-22	4.22 E-28	0.00
Pd-107	8.59 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	3.18 E-09	7.40 E-04	2.35 E-12	0.00
Ag-110	2.28 E - 04	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	8.44 E-14	7.40 E-26	6.24 E-39	0.00
Ag-110m	1.71 E-02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.33 E-12	9.50 E-02	6.01 E-13	0.00
Cd-113*	2.80 E-02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	I.04 E-11	2.60 E-01	2.69 E-12	0.00
Cd-113m*	1.80 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.67 E-07	2.60 E-01	1.73 E-07	0.00
Cd-115m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	7.10 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00

Table 11-1. 105 KE Unabated Emissions and Dose. (3 sheets)

Table 7-1 S	E BASIN Source Term	Release fraction	Adjusted release faction	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs- 137 (Ci)	CAP-88 dose conversion factor (mrem/yr)	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs-137 TEDE to the MEI	Dose percent of total
	Inventory (Ci)					(mrem/yr)	
In-113m	1.77 E-07	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.55 E-17	6.50 E-06		0.00
Sn-113	1.77 E-07	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.55 E-17	4.40 E-03		0.00
Sn-119m*	2.50 E-01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	9.25 E-11	2.60 E-01	2.41 E-11	0.00
Sn-121m	4.01 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.48 E-08	1.11 E-01		0.00
Sn-123	1.46 E-05	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	5.40 E-15	1.00 E-04		0.00
Sn-126	8.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.99 E-08	9.30 E-02		0.00
Sb-124	2.49 E-18	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	9.21 E-28	1.70 E-02	1.57 E-29	0.00
Sb-125	1.69 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.25 E-06	5.20 E-02	3.25 E-07	0.01
Sb-126	1.13 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.18 E-09	6.50 E-03	2.72 E-11	0.00
Sb-126m	8.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.99 E-08	5.10 E-06	1.52 E-13	0.00
Te-123m*	2.33 E-11	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	8.62 E-21	2.60 E-01	2.24 E-21	0.00
Te-125m	4.13 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.53 E-06	2.00 E-03	3.06 E-09	0.00
Te-127	7.90 E-07	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.92 E-16	1.70 E-05	4.97 E-21	0.00
Te-129m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E÷01	0.00 E+00	4.90 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Te-127m	8.07 E-07	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.99 E-16	5.00 E-03	1.49 E-18	0.00
Te-129	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	3.60 E-06	0.00 E+00	0.00
I-129	3.26 E+00	6.00 E-05	1.00 E+00	1.96 E-04	2.40 E-01	4.69 E - 05	0.89
Cs-134	6.95 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.57 E-06	2.00 E-01	5.14 E-07	0.01
Cs-135	3.96 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.47 E-08	8.70 E-03	1.27 E-10	0.00
Cs-137	6.56 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.43 E-03	4.70 E-01	1.29 E-04	21.51
Ba-137m	6.20 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.29 E-03	2.40 E-09	5.50 E-12	0.00
Ce-141	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	0.00 E÷00	1.50 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Ce-144	7.56 E±02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.80 E-07	2.60 E-02	6.99 E-09	0.00
Pr-143	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	0.00 E+00	1.20 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Pr-144	7.47 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.76 E-07	4.30 E-07	1.19 E-13	0.00
Pr-144m	9.07 E+00	3.70 E-11	1.00 E÷01				0.00
	2.45 E+05		 				0.00
	0.00 E+00		- /				0.00
Pm148m	0.00 E+00		F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.00 E+00			0.00
-							0.00

Table 11-1. 105 KE Unabated Emissions and Dose. (3 sheets)

Table 7-1 S	E BASIN Source Term Inventory (Ci)	Release fraction	Adjusted release faction	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs- 137 (Ci)	CAP-88 dose conversion factor (mrem/yr)	Unabated emission based on water at 30 µCi/L Cs-137 TEDE to the MEI (mrem/yr)	Dose percent of total
Eu-152	4.67 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.73 E-07	4.80 E-01	8.29 E-08	0.00
Eu-154	5.31 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.96 E-05	3.90 E-01	7.66 E-06	0.14
Eu-155	1.12 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.15 E-06	1.60 E-02	6.64 E-08	0.00
Gd-153*	9.59 E-05	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	3.55 E-14	2.60 E-01	9.23 E-15	0.00
Tb-160	2.29 E-15	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	8.47 E-25	1.30 E-02	1.10 E-26	0.00
U-234	4.66 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.72 E-07	6.20 E+00	1.07 E-06	0.02
U-235	1.77 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	6.55 E-09	5.90 E+00	3.86 E-08	0.00
U-236	6.61 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.45 E-08	5.80 E+00	1.42 E-07	0.00
U-238	3.80 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.41 E-07	5.50 E+00	7.73 E-07	0.01
Np-237	3.02 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.12 E-08	2.30 E+01	2.57 E-07	0.00
Pu-238	6.05 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.24 E-05	1.50 E+01	3.36 E-04	6.34
Pu-239	1.16 E+05	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.30 E-05	1.60 E÷01	6.87 E-04	12.97
Pu-240 .	6.38 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.36 E-05	1.60 E+01	3.78 E-04	7.13
Pu-241	3.42 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.27 E-03	2.60 E-01	3.29 E-04	6.21
Pu-242	3.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	1.14 E-08	1.60 E+01	1.82 E-07	0.00
Am-241	2.06 E+05	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	7.63 E-05	2.50 E+01	1.91 E-03	36.01
Am-242	1.13 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.18 E-08	2.50 E-03	1.05 E-10	0.00
Am-242m	1.14 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	4.22 E-08	2.40 E+01	1.01 E-06	0.02
Am-243	7.12 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	2.63 E-08	2.50 E+01	6.59 E-07	0.01
Cm-242	9.40 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	3.48 E-08	8.20 E-01	2.85 E-08	0.00
Cm-244	8.71 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.00 E+01	3.22 E-07	1.30 E+01	4.19 E-06	0.08
							
Total	2.73 E+07			1.81 E+01		5.30 E-03	100.08

^{*}Radionuclide or dose not included in the CAP 88 data library. It is possible to substitute another more conservative unit dose factor for those radionuclides (Pu-241).

Table 11-2. 105 KE Abated Emissions and Dose. (3 sheets)

105 KE BASIN Table 7-1 Source Term		Release fraction	Abated emission based on water at 3 µCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)	CAP-88 dose conversion factor (mrem/yr)	Abated emission based on water at 3 µCi/L Cs-137 TEDE to the MEI (mrem/yr)	Dose percent of total	
Radionuclide	Inventory (Ci)		(6.)		(micm/yi)		
H-3	1.81 E+04	6.00 E-05	1.09 E+00	4.90 E-05	5.33 E-05	8.56	
C-14	3.62 E+02	3.70 E-11.	1.34 E-08	3.80 E-03	5.09 E-11	0.00	
Fe-55	9.64 E+02	3.70 E-11	3.57 E-08	4.50 E-04	1.61 E-11	0.00	
Co-60	1.86 E+03	3.70 E-11	6.88 E-08	4.90 E-01	3.37 E-08	0.01	
Ni-59	2.11 E+01	3.70 E-11	7.81 E-10	6.10 E-04	4.76 E-13	0.00	
Ni-63	2.31 E÷03	3.70 E-11	8.56 E-08	5.20 E-04	4.45 E-11	0.00	
Se-79*	4.35 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.61 E-09	2.60 E-01	4.18 E-10	0.00	
Kr-85	2.84 E+05	6.00 E-05	1.70 E+01	1.10 E-07	1.88 E-06	0.03	
Sr-89	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	3.40 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00	
Sr-90	4.96 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.84 E-04	2.20 E-01	4.04 E-05	6.49	
Y-90	4.97 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.84 E-04	6.80 E-04	1.25 E-07	0.02	
Y-91	1.78 E-14	3.70 E-11	6.59 E-25	5.00 E-03	3.29 E-27	0.00	
Zr-93	2.01 E+02	3.70 E-11	7.44 E-09	2.60 E-03	1.93 E-11	0.00	
Zr-95	1.35 E-12	3.70 E-11	5.00 E-23	7.70 E-03	3.85 E-25	0.00	
Nb-93m	1.26 E+02	3.70 E-11	4.66 E-09	4.10 E-03	1.91 E-11	0.00	
Nb-94	1.12 E-02	3.70 E-11	4.14 E-13	1.60 E+00	6.63 E-13	0,00	
Nb-95	3.01 E-12	3.70 E-11	1.11 E-22	9.50 E-03	1.06 E-24	0.00	
Nb-95m	1.00 E-14	3.70 E-11	3.70 E-25	5.90 E-04	2.18 E-28	0.00	
Tc-99	1.47 E+03	3.70 E-11	5.42 E-08	4.50 E-02	2.44 E-09	0,00	
Ru-103	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	3.60 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00	
Ru-106	1.39 E+03	3.70 E-11	5.14 E-08	4.00 E-02	1.59 E-09	0.00	
Rh-103m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.50 E-07	0.00 E÷00	0.00	
Rh106	1.39 E+03	3.70 E-11	5.14 E-08	8.20 E-22	4.22 E-29	0,00	
Pd-107	8.59 E+00	3.70 E-11	3.18 E-10	7.40 E-04	2.35 E-13	0,00	
Ag-110	2.28 E-04	3.70 E-11	8.44 E-15	7.40 E-26	6.24 E-40	0,00	
Ag-110m	1.71 E-02	3.70 E-11	6.33 E-13	9.50 E-02	6.01 E-14	0.00	
Cd-113*	2.80 E-02	3.70 E-11	1.04 E-12	2.60 E-01	2.69 E-13	0.00	
Cd-113m*	1.80 E+03	3.70 E-11	6.67 E-08	2.60 E-01	1.73 E-08	0.00	
Cd-115m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	7.10 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00	
In-113m	1.77 E-07	3.70 E-11	6.55 E-18	6.50 E-06	4.26 E-23	0.00	

Table 11-2. 105 KE Abated Emissions and Dose. (3 sheets)

105 KE BASIN Table 7-1 Source Term		Release fraction	Abated emission based on water at 3 µCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)		Abated emission based on water at 3 µCi/L Cs-137 TEDE to the MEI (mrem/yr)	Dose percent of total
Radionuclide	Inventory (Ci)		(CI)		(mrem/yr)	
Sn-113	1.77 E-07	3.70 E-11	6.55 E-18	4.40 E-03	2.88 E-20	0.00
Sn-119m*	2.50 E-01	3.70 E-11	9.25 E-12	2.60 E-01	2.41 E-12	0.00
Sn-121m	4.01 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.48 E-09	1.11 E-01	1.65 E-10	0.00
Sn-123	1.46 E-05	3.70 E-11	5.40 E-16	1.00 E-04	5.40 E-20	0.00
Sn=126	8.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.99 E-09	9.30 E-02	2.78 E-10	0.00
Sb-124	2.49 E-18	3.70 E-11	9.21 E-29	1.70 E-02	1.57 E-30	0.00
Sb-125	1.69 E+04	3.70 E-11	6.25 E-07	5.20 E-02	3.25 E-08	0.01
Sb-126	1.13 E+01	3.70 E-11	4.18 E-10	6.50 E-03	2.72 E-12	0.00
Sb-126m	8.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.99 E-09	5.16 E-06	1.54 E-14	0.00
Te-123m*	2.33 E-11	3.70 E-11	8.62 E-22	2.60 E-01	2.24 E-22	0.00
Te-125m	4.13 E+03	3.70 E-11	1.53 E-07	2.00 E-03	3.06 E-10	0.00
Te-127	7.90 E-07	3.70 E-11	2.92 E-17	1.70 E-05	4.97 E-22	0.00
Te-129m	0.00 E÷00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	4.90 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Te-127m	8.07 E-07	3.70 E-11	2.99 E-17	5.00 E-03	1.49 E-19	0.00
Te-129	0.00 E±00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	3.60 E-06	0.00 E+00	0.00
I-129	3.26 E+00	6.00 E-05	1.96 E-04	2.40 E-01	4.69 E-05	7.55
Cs-134	6.95 E+03	3.70 E-11	2.57 E-07	2.00 E-01	5.14 E-08	0.01
Cs-135	3.96 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.47 E-09	8.70 E-03	1.27 E-11	0.00
Cs-137	6.56 E+06	3.70 E-11	2.43 E-04	4.70 E-01	1.29 E-05	18.33
Ba-137m	6.20 E+06	3.70 E-11	2.29 E-04	2.40 E-09	5.50 E-13	0.00
Ce-141	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.50 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Ce-144	7.56 E+02	3.70 E-11	2.80 E-08	2.60 E-02	6.99 E-10	0.00
Pr-143	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E÷00	1.20 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Pr-144	7.47 E+02	3.70 E-11	2.76 E-08	4.30 E-07	1.19 E-14	0.00
Pr-144m	9.07 E+00	3.70 E-11	3.36 E-10	2.20 E-08	7.38 E-18	0.00
Pm-147	2.45 E+05	3.70 E-11	9.07 E-06	2.10 E-03	1.90 E-08	0.00
Pm-148	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E÷00	1.70 E-03	0.00 E+00	0.00
Pm148m	0.00 E+00	3.70 E-11	0.00 E+00	1.50 E-02	0.00 E+00	0.00
Sm-151	8.93 E+04	3.70 E-11	3.30 E-06			0.00
Eu-152	4.67 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.73 E-08	4.80 E-01		0.00
Eu-154	5.31 E+04	3.70 E-11	1.96 E-06			0.12
Eu-155	1.12 E+04	3.70 E-11	4.15 E-07	1.60 E-02	6.64 E-09	0.00

Table 11-2. 105 KE Abated Emissions and Dose. (3 sheets)

105 KE BASIN Table 7-1 Source Term		Release fraction	Abated emission based on water at 3 μCi/L Cs-137 (Ci)	CAP-88 dose conversion factor (mrem/yr)	TEDE to the MEI	Dose percent of total
Radionuclide	Inventory (Ci)		(Ci)		(mrem/yr)	
Gd-153*	9.59 E-05	3.70 E-11	3.55 E-15	2.60 E -0 1	9.23 E-16	0.00
Tb-160	2.29 E-15	3.70 E-11	8,47 E-26	1.30 E-02	1.10 E-27	0.00
U-234	4.66 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.72 E-08	6.20 E+00	1.07 E-07	0.02
U-235	1.77 E+01	3.70 E-11	6.55 E-10	5.90 E+00	3.86 E-09	0.00
U-236	6.61 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.45 E-09	5.80 E+00	1.42 E-08	0.00
U - 238	3.80 E+02	3.70 E-11	1.41 E-08	5.50 E+00	7.73 E-08	0.01
Np-237	3.02 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.12 E-09	2.30 E+01	2.57 E-08	0.00
Pu-238	6.05 E+04	3.70 E-11	2.24 E-06	1.50 E+01	3.36 E-05	5.40
Pu-239	1.16 E+05	3.70 E-11	4.30 E-06	1.60 E+01	6.87 E-05	11.05
Pu-240	6.38 E+04	3.70 E-11	2.36 E-06	1.60 E+01	3.78 E-05	6.08
Pu-241	3.42 E+06	3.70 E-11	1.27 E-04	2.60 E-01	3.29 E-05	5.29
Pu-242	3.07 E+01	3.70 E-11	1.14 E-09	1.60 E+01	1.82 E-08	0.00
Am-241	2.06 E+05	3.70 E-11	7.63 E-06	2.50 E+01	1.91 E-04	30.68
Am-242	1.13 E+02	3.70 E-11	4.18 E-09	2.50 E-03	1.05 E-11	0.00
Am-242m	1.14 E+02	3.70 E-11	4.22 E-09	2.40 E+01	1.01 E-07	0.02
Am-243	7.12 E+01	3.70 E-11	2.63 E-09	2.50 E+01	6.59 E-08	0.01
Cm-242	9.40 E+01	3.70 E-11	3.48 E-09	8.20 E-01	2.85 E-09	0.00
Cm-244	8.71 E+02	3.70 E-11	3.22 E-08	1.30 E+01	4.19 E-07	0.07
Total	2.73 E+07		1.81 E+01		6.22 E-04	100.05

^{*}Radionuclide or dose not included in the CAP 88 data library. It is possible to substitute another more conservative unit dose factor for those radionuclides (Pu-241).

1

12.0 FACILITY LIFETIME (REQUIREMENT 17)

2	The construction activities associated with the modifications described in this NOC
3	modification are scheduled to begin in calendar year 2001. Fuel elements will begin to be
4	retrieved during calendar year 2002 and removal will be completed within a two-year period.
5	Sludge removal will start in calendar year 2002 and will be covered by a Comprehensive
- 6	Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Radiological Air Monitoring Plan.
7	The date for completing the removal of SNF, sludge, debris and water is planned for
8	July 31, 2007, per Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party
- 9	Agreement) (Ecology et al. 1996) and amendments.
-10	
. 10	

.1

13.0

TECHNOLOGY STANDARDS (REQUIREMENT 18)

2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The technology standards listed in WAC 246-247-120 for BARCT and WAC 246-247-130 for ALARACT are to be met only to the extent justified by cost benefit evaluation as the PTE described in Section 11 is less than 0.1 mrem/yr The technology standards for the most part pertain to emission control systems for gaseous and particulate releases. Since the BARCT assessment described in Appendix A showed installing HEPA filtration in the 105 KE Basin was not cost effective, many of these standards are not applicab The following is a more detailed assessment for each technology standard.
9	ASME/ANSI AG-1, Code on Nuclear Air and Gas Treatment
10 11	This deals with gaseous and particulate releases to a facility air stream which is not applicable to the 105 KE Basin as BARCT does not include HEPA filtration
.12	ASME/ANSI N509, Nuclear Power Plant Air Cleaning Units and Components
13 14	This deals with gaseous and particulate releases to a facility air stream which is not applicable to the 105 KE Basin as BARCT does not include HEPA filtration
15	ASME/ANSI N510, Testing of Nuclear Air Treatment Systems
16 17	This deals with gaseous and particulate releases to a facility air stream which is not applicable to the 105 KE Basin as BARCT does not include HEPA filtration
18 19	 ANSI/ASME NQA-1, Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities and NQA-2, Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities
20 21 22 23	The design and operation of 105 KE will be in conformance with 10 CFR 830.120. The implementation plan to these codified quality assurance requirements describe in detail which portions of the same standard are applicable and carried forward into design and operation.
24	• 40 CFR 60, Appendix A
25	Method 1, Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources
26 27	Not applicable as the emissions monitoring uses fixed head samplers at the roof vents approved by DOH on October 5, 1992, AIR-92-107.
28 29	Method 1A, Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources with Small Stacks or Ducts
30. 31	Not applicable as the emissions monitoring uses fixed head samplers at the roof vents

1 2	Method 2, Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S pitot tube)
3	Exhaust flow rates were field measured. See AIR-92-107, dated October 5, 1992
4	Method 2A, Direct Measurement of Gas Volume Through Pipes and Small Ducts
5	Exhaust flow rates were field measured. See AIR-92-107, dated October 5, 1992
6 7	Method 2C, Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate in Small Stacks on Ducts (standard pitot tube)
8	Exhaust flow rates were field measured. See AIR-92-107, dated October 5, 1992
9	Method 2D, Measurement of Gas Volumetric Flow Rates in Small Pipes and Duce
10	Exhaust flow rates were field measured. See AIR-92-107, dated October 5, 1992
11	Method 4, Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases
12 13	Determination of moisture content in the basin vents is not necessary for the manner in which emissions are estimated
14	Method 5, Determination of Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources
15	Exhaust flow rates were field measured. See AIR-92-107, dated October 5, 1992
16	• ANSI N13.1, Guide to Sampling Airborne Radioactive Materials in Nuclear Facilities
17 18	Not applicable as the emissions monitoring uses fixed head samples at the roof vents approved by DOH on October 5, 1992, AIR-92-107.
19 20 -	 ANSI N42.18, Specification and Performance of On-Site Instrumentation for Continuously Monitoring Radioactivity in Effluents.
21	The emissions from the basins are not required to be continuously monitored.
22	• ERDA-76-21, Nuclear Air Cleaning Handbook
23 24	This deals with gaseous and particulate releases to a facility air stream which is not applicable to the 105 KE Basin as BARCT does not include HEPA filtration
25	ACGIH 1988, Industrial Ventilation
26 27	This deals with gaseous and particulate releases to a facility air stream which is not applicable to the 105 KE Basin as BARCT does not include HEPA filtration.

1	14.0 REFERENCES
2 3	10 CFR 830, "Nuclear Safety Management," Subpart A, "Quality Assurance Requirments," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
4 5	40 CFR 60, "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
6 7	ACGIH, 1988, <i>Industrial Ventilation</i> , American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, Ohio.
8 9	ANSI N13.1, 1999, Guide to Sampling Airborne Radioactive Materials in Nuclear Facilities, American National Standards Institute, New York, New York.
10 11 12	ANSI N42.18, 1980 (reaffirmed 1991), Specification and Performance of On-Site Instrumentation for Continuously Monitoring Radioactivity in Effluents, American National Standards Institute, New York, New York.
13 14	ASME/ANSI AG-1, 1991, Code on Nuclear Air and Gas Treatment, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, New York.
15 16	ASME/ANSI N509, 1989, Nuclear Power Plant Air-Cleaning Units and Components, America Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, New York.
17 18	ASME/ANSI N510, 1989, Testing of Nuclear Air Treatment Systems, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, New York.
19 20	ASME/ANSI NQA-1, 1994, Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, New York.
21 22	ASME/ANSI NQA-2, 1994, Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, New York.
23 24 25	BHI, 2001, Transfer Plan for Potential Spent Nuclear Fuel Discovered at F and H Storage Basins for Relocation to K Basins, BHI-01428, Rev. 0, Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Richland Washington.
26 27 28 29	Conklin, A. W., 1998, Washington State Department of Health, Olympia, Washington, to J. E. Rasmussen, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington, Letter AIR-98-105, No Subject, (DOH Approval of page changes NOC 105 K West Fuel Removal DOE/RL-97-28, Rev. 0A).
30 31 32	DOE/RL-95-65, 1995, Radioactive Air Emissions Notice of Construction, Debris Removal, 105 KE-Basin, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

1 2 3 4 5	DOE/RL, 2001, K East Fuel Removal Radioactive Air Emissions Notice of Construction (NOC) Revision, Letter 01-RCA-362, J. Hebdon, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, to D. R. Sherwood, J. M. Leitch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and A. W. Conklin, Washington State Department of Health, dated July 13, 2001.
6 7 8	DOE/RL-97-28, 2001, Radioactive Air Emission Notice of Construction Fuel Removal for 105-KW Basin, Rev. 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
9 10	DOH, 2001, Letter AIR 01-407, A. W. Conklin, Washington State Department of Health, to J. I Hebdon, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, dated April 26, 2001.
11 12 13 14	Ecology, EPA, and DOE-RL, 1996, Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Olympia, Washington, as amended.
15 16 17	EPA, 1990, The Clean Air Act Assessment Package – 1988 (CAP-88), A Dose and Risk Assessment Methodology for Radionuclide Emissions to Air, Vols. 1-3, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
18 19	ERDA-76-21, 1976, Nuclear Air Cleaning Handbook, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D.C.
20 21	HNF-3602, 2002, Calculating Potential to Emit Releases and Doses for FEMPs and NOCs, Fluor Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
22 23 24	HNF-EP-0835, Statement of Work for Services Provided by the Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility for the Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Program, as amended, Fluor Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
25 26 27	HNF-SD-SNF-TI-009, 2001, 105K Basin Material Design Basis Feed Description for Spent Nuclear Fuel Project Facilities, Volume 2 Sludge, Vol. 2, Rev. 4, Fluor Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
28 29	Lindeburg, M. R., 1990, Engineering Unit Conversions, Second Edition, Professional Publications, Inc., Belmont, California
30 31	SNF-6169, 2002, Heat Load Distribution of Single Pass Reactor Fuel, Rev. 0, Fluor Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
32 33 34 55 66	SNF-8477, 2001, 105KE Air Permitting Documentation Provided to DOH (Spent Nuclear Fuel Project, K West Basin Notice of Construction, Closure of State of Washington Department of Health Approval Condition #2 (AIR-98-105) and Approval Condition #2 (AIR-97-206), [99-SNF/DJW-156, November 1999, Interoffice Correspondence to SNF Project Files]), Rev. 0, Fluor Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
7	WAC 197-11 "SEPA Rules" Washington Administrative Code Okomoie Weshington

1 2	WAC 246-247, "Radiation Protection — Air Emissions," Washington Administrative Code, Olympia, Washington.
3	WHC-SD-NR-ES-016, 1993, Transport Mechanism of Radionuclides in 105-KE Fuel Storage
4	Basin to Airborne Effluent Release, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland
5.	Washington.
6	WHC-SD-NR-TRP-015, 1993, Test Report - 100K Basin Airflow Effluent Volume Test, Rev. 0.
7	Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
8	

1

This page intentionally left blank.

2

3

APPENDIX A

DISCUSSION OF AS LOW AS REASONABLY ACHIEVABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

Consists of 4 pages including cover

APPENDIX A

DISCUSSION OF AS LOW AS REASONABLY ACHIEVABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

As stated in *Washington Administrative Code* (WAC) 246-247-040(4), "All existing emission units and nonsignificant modifications shall utilize ALARACT [as low as reasonably achievable control technology]..." By definition, the proposed modification is "nonsignificant." As stated in WAC 246-247-030(6), in part, "Control technology that meets BARCT requirements also meets ALARACT requirements."

An assessment of the best available radionuclide control technology (BARCT) (WHC-SD-NR-TI-052) was prepared for the 105 K East (KE) Basin encapsulation activity. The BARCT assessment studied the economic impacts of installing several high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration systems in the 105 KE Basin. The BARCT assessment revealed that installing HEPA filtration on the 105 KE Basin was not cost effective.

In a September 13, 1993, letter to U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, the Washington State of Washington Department of Health (DOH) agreed (subject to specific conditions) that the water in the K Basins would be accepted as BARCT for the control of airborne radionuclides (DOH 1993).

Therefore, it is concluded that the basin water treatment systems, described in Sections 6.3 and 8.4, are as low as reasonably achievable control technology for the proposed activity.

REFERENCES

- WAC 246-247, "Radiation Protection Air Emissions," Washington Administrative Code, Olympia, Washington.
- WHC-SD-NR-TI-052, 1993, BARCT Assessment for 105-KE Encapsulation Activity, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- DOH, 1993, Letter AIR 93-908 from A. W. Conklin, Washington State Department of Health, to J. D. Bauer, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Field Office, September 13, 1993.

APPENDIX B

105 KE AND 105 KW FUEL STORAGE BASINS ALARACT DEMONSTRATION FOR

RECEIPT AND STORAGE OF F AND H REACTOR FUEL

Consists of 6 pages including cover

Note

The following ALARACT Assessment describes the spent nuclear fuel that will be transferred to the 105 KE Basin from the cleanout operations at the F and H Reactors. The quantity of spent nuclear fuel involved has been adjusted to reflect current forecasts based on work done to date. The adjustment and impacts on the APQ, release rates, and dose impacts have been incorporated into Sections 7, 10, and 11.

APPENDIX B

3/15/01

105 KE and 105 KW Fuel Storage Basins ALARACT Demonstration for Receipt and Storage of F and H Reactor Fuel

The purpose of this As Low As Reasonably Achievable Control Technology (ALARACT) demonstration for the receipt and storage, in either the 105 K East (KE) or 105 K West (KW) Basin, of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) that may be found during the cleanout of the F and H Reactor Basins, is to: (1) demonstrate that the potential-to-emit (PTE) is significantly under 0.1 mrem/year to the maximally exposed individual (MEI); (2) demonstrate the means that will be used to control radioactive emissions to achieve emission levels that are consistent with ALARA: and (3) obtain approval from Washington State of Washington Department of Health (DOH) that this action is exempt from the requirements of Washington Administrative Code (WAC)-246-247-060 and -075 per WAC 246-247-020(c), as described in more detail below.

The amount of SNF that potentially exists in the F and H Reactor Basins that will be added to the K Basins SNF inventory is 150 pounds, as compared to the 2100 metric tons of SNF stored in the 105 KE and 105 KW Basins. This 150 pounds is 3.2E-3 percent of the present SNF inventory in the K Basins.

The subject emission units/project is the 105 KE and 105 KW Fuel Storage Basins and the action, described below, for which this ALARACT demonstration is submitted is part of a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) interim removal action, as described in the Record of Decision (ROD) for the 100-KR-2 operable unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ID # WA3890090076, dated September 22, 1999. The SNF, which may be found during the cleanout of the F and H Reactor Basins, will be transferred to either the 105 KE or 105 KW Fuel Storage Basins based on basin operations at the time of transfer. The cleanout of the F and H Reactor Basins is being performed under a CERCLA ROD.

The removal work at the F and H Reactor Basins is planned to take place in the summer of calendar year 2001.

Background

Hanford Site single-pass reactor (SPR) SNF was removed from the F and H Reactor fuel storage basins (F and H Basins) over 30 years ago, following the cessation of irradiation operations at those reactors. Based on the experienced gained from other Hanford reactor decommissioning activities, it is anticipated that several fuel elements or element pieces may be found that were inadvertently left behind after fuel removal. It is projected that up to five or more fuel elements or element pieces may be found in each of the F and H Basins. Any SNF elements or pieces found during basin cleanout will be retrieved and transported to the 105 K fuel storage basins (K Basins) for storage and final disposition. No more than 150 pounds of SNF is anticipated during this campaign.

3/15/01

At the F and H Basins, SNF elements and/or element pieces will be placed in lead-shielded sludge sample containers (hereinafter referred to as sample containers) and will subsequently be packaged for shipment to the K Basins in a PAS-1 cask shown in Figure 1. The PAS-1 cask is dually certified by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) under Certification of Compliance (CoC) USA/9184/B(U)(NRC 2000) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under CoC USA/9184/B(U)(DOE 2000).

Under the NRC/DOE CoCs, the PAS-1 cask is licensed for the shipment of Type B quantities of radioactive liquids (up to 4L) in commerce. The PAS-1 cask has also been used at Hanford for onsite shipments of other payloads (e.g. K Basin SNF sludge).


The PAS-1 cask will be transported to either the 105 KE or 105 KW fuel storage basins based on operational activities occurring at the time of shipment. Upon receipt at either basin, the cask will be opened and the closed lead-shielded sample container, containing SNF elements and/or element pieces, will be removed from the cask and placed underwater in the basin. From that point, the closed lead-shielded sample container will be opened underwater and the contents will be transferred to an empty canister for storage in the basins. The empty lead-shielded sample container will be removed from the basin, rinsed and bagged using the same controls being used for removing K Basin debris described in the 105 KE and 105 KW Basin Fuel Removal Notices of Construction (NOCs). (DOE/RL-96-101, Rev. 0, and DOE/RL-97-28, Rev 0A).

A PTE for this activity was estimated following the approach in 40 Code of Federal Regulation 61 Appendix D. The PTE and the annual possession quantity are shown in Table 1. The PTE was calculated as 8.71 E-5 mrem/year, which took no credit for the closed lead-shield sample container or the basin water. For comparative purposes, the PTE associated with the removal of SNF from the 105 KE and 105 WK Basins is:

105 KE Basin (1) 2.81 E-3 mrem/yr. 105 KW Basin (2) 4.17 E-2 mrem/yr.

- (1) DOE/RL-96-101, Rev. 0
- (2) DOE/RL-97-28, Rev. 0A

PAS-1 Cask for F and H Basin Spent Nuclear Fuel Payload.



1	J						
STIMATE	D PTE OF F	H REACTOR I	UEL TO BE	STORED IN	105 K-BASIN		
Radio-	Single	Total Annual	Release	Unabated	100 K-Area	Unabated	<u> </u>
nuclide	Element	Possession	Fraction	Annual	Unit Dose	Offsite	
	Inventory	Quantity	(solid fuel)	Emission	Factors	Dose	
	(CI)	(CI/yr)		(Ci/yr)	(mrem/Ci)	(mrem/yr)	
					,		<u> </u>
4m-241	7.83E-02	7.83E-01	1.00E-06	7.83E-07	2.50E+01	1.96E-05	
3a-137m	5.61E+00	5.61E+01	1.00E-06	5.61E-05	2.40E-09	1.35E-13	
Cd-113	4.00E-04	4.00E-03	1.00E-06	4.00E-09	2.60E-01		<u></u>
Cs-137	5.93E+00	5.93E+01	1.00E-06	5.93E-05	5.30E-02	3.14E-06	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Eu-152	3.03E-05	3.03E-04	1.00E-06	3.03E-10	4.80E-01	1.45E-10	
Kr-85	1.72E-01	1.72E+00	1.00E-06	1.72E-06	1.10E-07	1.89E-13	
Nb-94	1.60E-04;	1.60E-03	1.00E-06	1.60E-09	1.60E+00		1
Pd-107	4.00E-06	4.00E-05	1.00E-06	4.00E-11	7.40E-04	2.96E-14	-
238	3.61E-03	3.61E-02	1.00E-06	3.61E-08	1.50E+01	5.42E-07	
Pu-239	2.40E-01	2.40E+00	1.00E-06	2.40E-06	1.60E+01	3.84E-05	
Pu-240	6.00E-02	6.00E-01	1.00E-06	6.00E-07	1.60E+01	9.60E-06	
Pu-241	1.07E+00	1.07E+01	1.00E-06	1.07E-05	2.60E-01	2.78E-06	Σi:
Se-79	4.00E-05	4.00E-04	1.00E-06	4.00E-10	2.60E-01	1.04E-10	
Sm-151 i	7.21E-02	7.21E-01	1.00E-06	7.21E-07	1.50E-03	1.08E-09	
Sr-90 :	5.85E+00	5.85E+01	1.00E-06	5.85E-05	2.20E-01	1.29E-05	
Tc-99	2.00E-01	2.00E+00	1.00E-06	2.00E-06	4.50E-02	9.00E-08	
J-238	1.20E-03	1.20E-02	1.00E-06	1.20E-08	5.50E+00	6.60E-08	
Y-90	5.85E+00		1.00E-06	5.85E-05	6.80E-04	3.98E-08	(F
Zr-93	4.00E-04	4.00E-03	1.00E-06	4.00E-09	2.60E-03	1.04E-11	
rotals	2.51E+01	2.51E+02		2.51E-04		8.71E-05	
Notes: !							1
Single-eler	nent activity in	ventory decayed	aken from Bi-	II-01428 Rev.	0, Appendix	Ą	
Annual possession quantity is based on 10 fuel elements (BHI-01428 Rev. 0, Section 3.4)							
Release fraction assumes solid fuel pieces; no abatement credit is taken for the water cover in the basin							
	tainment canis	A STATE OF THE STA					
Conservati	ve dose factor	s (release height	< 40-m) are	taken from H	NF-3602 Vol.	1	1

APPENDIX C

ALARACT DEMONSTRATION FOR THE 105 KE CONCRETE PAD REMOVAL

Consists of 8 pages including cover

APPENDIX C

ALARACT DEMONSTRATION FOR THE 105 KE CONCRETE PAD REMOVAL

To:

Joe Escamillo, A4-79 Paul Krupin, A5-15 Dave Watson, X3-79 Jerry Hunacek, X3-79 John Bates, G1-30 Nina Menard, G1-30 Barry Curn, G1-30

Subject: ALARACT Demonstration for the 105 KE Concrete Pad Removal

Attached is a copy of the signed ALARACT Demonstration for the 105 KE Concrete Pad Removal. The removal of the pad was originally brought to the RTAM as a NOC Revision. After some discussion, DOH indicated that it would more appropriately be submitted as an ALARACT Demonstration.

Larry Gadbois of the EPA was contacted to ensure that this meets his needs for management of the CERCLA Action. His only concern was the potential for water used in dust control to move contamination from the soil into the groundwater. The ALARACT Demonstration was revised to indicate that run-off or ponding on adjacent soils would not be allowed. Larry Gadbois reviewed the revision and indicated that it was sufficient.

On February 20, 2001, Randy Acselrod signed the ALARACT Demonstration. He kept a copy for the DOH files and for a discussion with Al Conklin later that afternoon. A copy was also delivered to Larry Gadbois, EPA for his files.

If you have any questions regarding the above information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Carole Rodriguez

105 KE Fuel Storage Basin ALARACT Demonstration IWTS Concrete Pad Removal February 9, 2000

The purpose of this As Low As Reasonably Achievable Control Technology (ALARACT) demonstration for the removal of a concrete pad north of the 105 KE Fuel Storage Basin is to demonstrate: (1) a potential-to-emit significantly under 0.1 mrem/year to the MEI; (2) the means which will be used to control radioactive emissions that achieves emission levels that are consistent with ALARA; and (3) obtain approval from DOH that this action is exempt from the requirements of WAC-246-247-060 and -075 per WAC 246-247-020(c), as described in more detail below.

The subject emission unit/project is the 105 KE Fuel Storage Basin and the action described below for which this ALARACT demonstration is submitted for is a part of a CERCLA interim removal action as described in the Record of Decision for the 100-KR-2 operable unit, EPA 1D #WA3890090076, dated September 22, 1999.

The removal of this concrete pad is fied to the construction of the 105KE Basin Integrated Water Treatment System (IWTS) and is not unique to any facility modifications for the Alternate Fuel Transfer Strategy (AFTS). Construction is planned to start February 27, 2001.

BACKGROUND

The concrete pad to be removed is located to the north of the 105 KE Fuel Storage Basin. Demolition debris will be shipped to the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF) for disposal. A portion of the existing pad was part of the original reactor construction in 1952, with the remainder of the pad added in 1994. Between 1994 and 1999 the pad was used for storage of low-level waste. Currently, this pad has radiological fixed/non-smearable contamination. The source of the contamination is assumed to be from past 105 KE Basin operations involving the staging of radiologically contaminated equipment and waste. Figure 1 shows the pad construction area.

There is a potential for radioactive airborne emissions associated with the removal of the existing concrete pad. A radiological survey was performed on the pad to quantify the fixed radioactivity. The contamination consists of non-smearable beta/gamma contamination. Readings ranged from less than detectable to 1,000,000-dpm/100 cm² total for beta/gamma radiation.

The measured surface activity represents the radiological source term. This activity was used to calculate the annual possession quantity which was in turn used to calculate the potential-to-emit.

The potential-to-emit (PTE) associated with the concrete pad removal is given in Table 1. The MEI is located 6.14 miles west of the 100 Area. The PTE was calculated based on measured Cs-137 activity (dpm/100 cm²) on each of 325 segments of the 40 ft. by 75 ft. pad to be removed. Each segment measures 9 square feet. Total Cs-137 activity was estimated by summing the activities of each of the 325 segments.

To estimate the other nuclide activities, it was assumed that the pad fixed/non-smearable contamination was from a 105KE Basin water spill. Assuming the relative ratio of Cs-137 on the pad to other nuclides is the same as in basin water, the activities of the other nuclides shown in Table 1 were calculated.

The dose conversion factors used were derived from the CAP-88 Code. The projected dose for each individual nuclide is calculated by multiplying the projected annual emission by the dose conversion factor. The resulting PTE dose calculation provides a conservative result of 2.45E-07 mrem/yr. To count for any soil contamination this number has been multiplied by 3. The resulting PTE dose is 7.35E-07 mrem/yr.

The radiological contamination that is present in the existing concrete pad is considered fixed by virtue of it being entrapped in the concrete and by the coating that has been applied to the surface of the concrete pad.

Methods associated with the removal of the concrete pad will involve the use of a backhoe or other mechanical methods to remove the existing concrete and to excavate and compact the soil for foundation construction. Water may also be used as a means of dust suppression if required, however any run-off or ponding on adjacent soils will not be allowed pursuant to State Waste Discharge Permit 4508.

The controls which will be used to minimize the potential for any radiological airborne contamination will entail placement of demolition rubble in coverable drag-off boxes that are used on site to ship waste to ERDF for disposal.

Monitoring will be performed by an existing ambient air monitoring network around the 105 KE Basin.

Exemptions:

Pursuant to WAC 246-247-020, the following are exemptions which are being requested and their bases:

WAC 246-247-060, applications, registration and licensing

This activity is a CERCLA interim response action and is excluded from inclusion in the air operating permit administered under WAC 173-401 per 42 U.S.C. 9621, Paragraph (e).

- Submittal of NOC. An exemption from this requirement is based both on the PTE and exclusion of CERCLA actions from administrative permitting requirements per 42 U.S.C. 9621, Paragraph (c).
- 2) Requirements for modifications of unregistered emission units. An exemption from this requirement is based on the fact that K Basins is a registered emission unit.
- 3) Submittal requirements for facilities in violation of any standards contained in WAC 246-247-040. An exemption from this requirement is based on the fact

- there are no violations to the referenced standards at K Basins as evidenced in DOE/RL-96-101 and DOH's AIR 97-206.
- 4) Notification of any pre-operational tests. An exemption to this requirement is based on the fact there are no pre-operational tests associated with the concrete pad removal.
- 5) The license shall specify ... The facility shall comply ... An exemption from this requirement is based on the fact that a unique license will not be issued for the concrete pad removal based as Hanford is operating under one license.
- 6) Licenses shall have an expiration date of 5 years... An exemption from this requirement is based on the fact that a unique license will not be issued for the concrete pad removal.

WAC 246-247-075, Monitoring, Testing, and Quality Assurance

2) Equipment and procedures used for continuous monitoring shall conform as applicable to ... An exemption to this requirement is based on the low PTE of the concrete pad removal and the utilization of an existing ambient air monitoring network at the facility.

Reviewed by Contractor Reviewed by RL Approved by BOH


Serold Sturents 2/9/01 Gold Messuel 2/14/01 Approved by BOH

Devolution 2/9/01 Approved by BOH

2/120/2000

Figure

105KE IWTS Annex Facility



PAD REMOVAL

- REMOVE FENCING BY UNBOLTING/CUTTING REMOVE IRON SUPPORTS BY CUTTING
- REMOVE PIPELINE BY UNDOLTING/CUTTING REMOVE CONCRETE BY DREAKING.

SITE PREPARATION

- EXCAVATE FOUR FEET OF SOIL BY MECHANICAL MEANS.
- BRING IN FOUR FEET OF HEN SOIL AND COMPACT BY MECHANICAL MEANS.
 MECHANICALLY DIG BYTS ANNEX FOOTINGS.

CONSTRUCTION

- MECHANICALLY POUR CONCRETE FOOTINGS AND PAD FOR WITS ANNEX



North

Table 1. Total Effective Dose Equivalent to the Maximally Exposed Individual Using Projected Emissions Based on Best Engineering Judgement and Emissions Dated for the Unabated Emissions for the Concrete Pad Removal North of the 105KE Fuel Storage Basin

Radionuclide	Calculated Activity (Ci)	Unabated* Release (Ci/yr)	CAP88 Dose Factor (mrem/Ci)	Unabated Dose (inrem/yr)
Am241	1.61E-06	1.61E-09	1.94E+01	3.12E-08
Cs134	5.36E-07	5.36E-10	4.60E-02	2.47E-11
Cs137	9.06E-04	9.06E-07	3.53E-02	3.20E-08
Co60	5.36E-07	5.36E-10	4.28E-02	2.29E-11
Eu152	1.07E-06	1.07E-09	2.27E-02	2.43E-11
Eu154	5.36E-07	5.36E-10	2.69E-02	1.44E-11
Eu155	1.61E-06	1.61E-09	4.90E-02	7.88E-11
Pu238	4.29E-06	4.29E-09	1.18E+01	5.06E-08
Pu239/240	7.50E-06	7.50E-09	1.28E+01	9.60E-08
Sr90	5.41E-04	5.41E-07	6.45E-02	3,49E-08
H3	8.03E-04	8.03E-07	3.36E-05	2.70E-11
Total	2.27E-03			2.45E-07
				7.35E-07**

^{*} Assume that the release fraction of nuclide for removal of the pad is 1.0E-3. This will give an unabated annual emission in Ci/yr.

Ci = curies

Ci/yr = Curies per year
mrem/Ci = millirem per curie
mrem/yr = millirem per year

^{**} To account for any potential soil contamination the unabated dose for the pad removal was multiplied by 3 to account for any contaminated soil that may be encountered.

DISTRIBUTION

Onsite		
	U.S. Department of Energy	
	Richland Operations Office	
3	C. A. Rodriguez	A4-79
	M. S. French	A4-79
	S. L. Helmann	A4-79
	Reading Room	H2-53
	Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.	
	T. Ambalam	X3-79
	J. A. Bates	G1-30
	R. M. Crawford	X3-79
	G. S. Hunacek	X3-79
	R. E. Johnson	G1-30
	J. D. Mathews	X3-65
	T. J. Ruane	X3-61
	R. P. Ruth	X3-80
	C. Slotemaker	X3-68
	D. J. Watson	X3-79
	J. D. Williams	X3-79
	Air Operating Permit File	H6-25
	SNF Project Files	R3-11
	Administrative Record (100-KR-2)	H6-08